



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED ON THE INTERNET AT www.kclv.tv. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 1:00 PM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION - JOHN BEAR, CHOCTAW/APACHE TRIBES
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:09)

1-1

JOHN BEAR, Choctaw/Apache Tribes, gave the invocation.

(9:09 – 9:12)

1-23

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:12 – 9:13)

1-101

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL joined MAYOR GOODMAN and the Council to honor JENNIFER ELLIS, City Administrative Receptionist, as this May's Citizen of the Month. MS. ELLIS is always cordial and helpful to all those who visit the Council offices and to the many people who are looking for answers to a wide variety of questions. She is extremely knowledgeable about the City and knows the social services in the Valley very well. Her polite demeanor and commitment to service, in addition to her vast knowledge, allow her to serve the constituency and direct residents to the appropriate department or agency. Many City employees also recognize MS. ELLIS as a hard working individual who is always willing to help. Not only is she effective at doing her job, but she also does it with a smile. She has been a City employee since 1998 and has always gone beyond the call of duty.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD, who nominated MS. ELIS, gave an example reflecting the extent of her commitment. The previous week MS. ELLIS received a call about a woman no one was able to take care of. MS. ELLIS became more concerned as she spoke to the woman, so she called the police to respond, and they found that the lady in question was a senior citizen who did not have proper insurance and needed urgent surgery. Thanks to MS. ELLIS, the elderly woman received urgent medical care and is now doing well.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Ceremonial

Recognition of the Employee of the Month

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. ELLIS was honored to receive this recognition. She stated that she loves her job and her co-workers. She opined that there is no problem too little, and there is always an answer, even if it is not within the City's jurisdiction. She thanked COUNCILMAN McDONALD for nominating her.

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL said that it has been a pleasure for her to work with MS. ELLIS for the past three years. She is extremely dedicated and the City, the Council, and the constituents are very lucky to have her as a City employee.

(9:13 – 9:18)

1-130

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF GIRLS VOLLEYBALL STATE CHAMPIONS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN recognized the Meadows School Volleyball Team for winning the Nevada 2A State Championship two years in a row. They have demonstrated the qualities of teamwork and discipline. The Mayor called each of the following team members to come up and receive a certificate of recognition. KARI GREGORY, CHRISSIE LAVIS, SARA BOOKOUT, DANI SCHEINER, HOLLIE COOMBS, NICOLE JAMES, LISA CURRAN, SIERRA SIMMONS, SARAH BUCHANAN, JAZMIN HENRY, SHELLEY PRICE, and KRISTEN WALLACE.

BRIAN GODDARD, Head Coach, accepted a huge trophy from the City. He thanked the City and gave credit to the girls for achieving the goals they set.

(9:18 – 9:22)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF GIRLS SOCCER STATE CHAMPIONS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK recognized the Centennial High Lady Bulldogs Soccer Team for their success at the State Championship for 2003. Their regular season record this year was twenty wins, zero losses, and one tie. In the playoffs, they outscored the five teams they faced in the Regions and State 20-1. In the State Finals, they defeated Green Valley High School 1-0 in sudden death overtime. This is the first Women's State Soccer Championship for Centennial High School. For the past three years of competition, the Lady Bulldogs Soccer Team has a record of forty-three wins, three losses, and two ties.

COUNCILMAN MACK called forward GARY VELASQUEZ, Principal; JAY HOWARD, Head Coach; DAVID FISH and ERIC BAYLES, Assistant Coaches; GREG WOLFRAM Athletic Administrator; and RICK KAZEE, Athletic Director. He then called up the following team members and gave each of them a certificate of recognition: RACHEL GORDON, AUDREY FALCONI, TIFFANY KINSHELLA, MEGHAN, MATTHEWS, DANIELLE DAVIS, MELISSA D'OCCHIO, RACQUEL BRAY, KASEY TURLEY, MIA PIERRO, KRISTYN JANNAZZO, DEBORAH SHEEHAN, AMANDA OLSON, ALIDA DIEDRICH, KASEY KIRKEGARD, NIKKI PRINE, JENNIFER BIANCHI, JULIE DI MATEO, JESSIKA WETZLER, KRISTIN MATAYAS, JENNIFER KANDT, CIERRA BOOTS, and REBECCA OWENS.

COACH HOWARD accepted the trophy. He thanked the Council for recognizing the team.

(9:22 – 9:28)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PRESENTATION OF THE NEVADA PARKS AND RECREATION SOCIETY AWARDS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN called forward DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, and MARY KILLION, Aquatics Field Supervisor, with the Department of Leisure Services. MAYOR GOODMAN mentioned that the City of Las Vegas is committed to providing recreational and sports venues. It feels great when the City receives recognition from other organizations because it is an indication of being on the right track.

DR. JACKSON said that with the support of the City Council, Leisure Services has once again received a Program Excellence Award from the Nevada Parks and Recreation Society. This award was received in February of this year. The Aquatics Division received this award for its partnership with several entities in facilitating water fitness classes and activities, particularly at the Municipal Pool. She mentioned the various partners.

MS. KILLION commented that she could not take credit for all the hard work that has gone into the division. SCOTT IRVINE and DAVID LUND, of her staff, work very hard on the program, and she merely gives them direction.

(9:28 – 9:30)

1-603

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF YOUTH WEEK

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of Proclamation

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN called up JOHN K. JORCZAK, member of the Benevolent and Protective Order of Elks, Las Vegas Lodge No. 1468, and the Chair of the Youth Activities Committee. The Mayor commented that it was great to have a room full of dedicated young people with such bright futures. Every Year the Elks select a handful of students from different local high schools and nominate them as Students of the Year, based on their achievement and potential. He presented MR. JORCZAK with a proclamation recognizing the efforts of the Elks in rewarding the talents of the local youth. MAYOR GOODMAN then called up this year's recipients to receive a certificate of recognition from the entire City Council. These students would be joining the Council at the dais for part of the meeting: JOHNATHAN MILLER – Desert Pines High School, JESSE WHITEHEAD – Palo Verde High School, STEPHANIE MALONE – Cheyenne High School, LAUREN WHATLEY – Cimarron Memorial High School, SHAHEEN MOEZI – Centennial High School, AUBREE ENGLERT – Bonanza High School, and SARA ROCHEFORD – Western High School.

MR. JORCZAK was pleased to accept the proclamation for Elks National Youth Week. He thanked the Council.

(9:30 – 9:35)

1-667

MINUTES:

Also, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recognized this year's Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities 2003 Youth Award Winners. They are young people active in community service

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Ceremonial

MINUTES – Continued:

and excel in academics. She announced the following winners and their prizes: TOBY EATON-\$100 Savings Bond, WILLIE JOHNSON-\$300, CLARA WILLIAMS-\$300, ANNETTE MAGNUS-\$500. The Grand Prize Award Winner of \$1,000 was ROSAURA GONZALEZ from Clark High School.

MS. GONZALEZ thanked her mother for always pushing her to do her best.

(9:32 – 9:35)

1-752

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE NEVADA CARPENTER'S UNION SAFETY AWARENESS
MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of Proclamation

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK called up RICK WILKENING, Political Director of the Nevada Carpenter's Union, and DAN DYRDAHL, President of Local 1977. COUNCILMAN MACK said that the growth being experienced in the community, especially in the Northwest, requires the skills of committed men and women to ensure that the buildings are constructed with quality and, most importantly, in a safe manner. The Nevada Carpenter's Union understands the importance of promoting a safe work environment. They work closely with their members to provide the training needed to avoid accidents in the worksite. Although the Union's efforts to train its members and apprentices in safety procedures take place year round, May has been designated by the Carpenter's Union as Safety Awareness Month in order to further advance the knowledge and community involvement to make every worksite a safe site.

MR. DYRDAHL accepted the proclamation proclaiming May 2003 as Safety Awareness Month and commented that five years ago a construction worker died at a hotel construction site. His wife requested that the Union help prevent accidents at worksites. Consequently, Safety Awareness Month was created, which has greatly increased safety at construction sites. The construction trade has not suffered a fatality in five years. It is the Union's contribution to the betterment of this community.

(9:35 – 9:39)

1-871

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE CARPENTERS FOR KIDNEYS PROGRAM

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of Proclamation

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

RICK WILKENING, Political Director of the Nevada Carpenter's Union, and DAN DYRDAHL, President of Local 1977, were present for this matter as well.

COUNCILMAN MACK said that the Nevada Carpenter's Union is also a very active member of the community, and its members participate in many non-profit efforts, such as the Carpenters For Kidneys Program. Every year the Union joins forces with the National Kidney Foundation to create awareness and raise money to fight the devastating effects of kidney disease. Since 1999, the Union has raised over \$135,000 for the National Kidney Foundation. This year, the goal was to raise \$50,000.

MR. WILKENING accepted the proclamation declaring May 10, 2003, as Carpenters For Kidneys Day. He said that this year the Union surpassed its \$50,000 goal. All the money raised will stay in the State.

(9:39 – 9:44)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 55 to 6/4/2003 and STRIKE Items 57, 64, and 66 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1161

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of April 16, 2003

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:45)
1-1214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Discussion and possible action to adjust the City Manager's compensation (**NOTE: To be trailed until the Afternoon Session, following the Closed Session**)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: To be determined
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: City Manager
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Yearly performance and compensation review of the City Manager

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Notice of closed personnel session which has been scheduled to precede the action item

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED a 4% merit increase with a 1.5% COLA increase effective 7/1/2003 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that a Budget Meeting was held on May 20, 2003. The City is quite lean on the various allocations of monies regarding the consolidated tax dollars and economy in general. This was all taken into consideration when granting the increases.

COUNCILMAN BROWN commented the Council has been conservative regarding the budget. However, he felt strongly that the number one person, CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, who manages the day-to-day operations of the City, should be evaluated and rewarded for his performance over the past twelve months.

MAYOR GOODMAN suggested a salary increase be considered as opposed to a bonus so it would be permanent, with a 1.5% COLA.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 3 – City Manager's Compensation

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEGO, Las Vegas resident, requested the salary be stated in layman's terms. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that a 4% merit increase for CITY MANAGER SELBY would be \$5,954.52, plus a 1.5% cost of living. His present salary is \$148,863.00.

There was no further discussion.

(1:28 – 1:33)

3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Discussion and possible action to adjust the City Attorney's compensation (**NOTE: To be trailed until the Afternoon Session, following the Closed Session**)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: To be determined
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: City Attorney
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Yearly performance and compensation review of the City Attorney

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Notice of closed personnel session which has been scheduled to precede the action item

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED a 4% one time bonus with a 1.5% COLA effective 7/1/2003
– UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE recommended a 4% one-time salary bonus with a 1.5% COLA.

MAYOR GOODMAN explained that CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC'S annual salary is \$135,055.00, and will have a one-time bonus of \$5,402.20, plus a 1.5% COLA.

COUNCILMAN MACK commended CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC and his team on their efforts over the past twelve months, especially with the increased load of work, more ordinances, more night meetings and more involvement with the Council.

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC thanked the City Council, as well as his staff.

There was no further discussion.

(1:33 – 1:36)

3-180

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Discussion and possible action to adjust the City Auditor's compensation (**NOTE: To be trailed until the Afternoon Session, following the Closed Session**)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: To be determined
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: City Audit
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Yearly performance and compensation review of the City Auditor

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Notice of closed personnel session which has been scheduled to precede the action item

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED a 4% merit increase with a 1.5% COLA increase effective 7/1/2003
– UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commended CITY AUDITOR RADFORD SNELDING for his innovative ways of auditing, spot check, cash-audit and fraud control. He recommended a 4% salary increase with a 1.5% COLA.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that CITY AUDITOR RADFORD SNELDING'S salary is \$100,318.00. The increase would be \$4,012.72 plus a 1.5% COLA.

There was no further discussion.

(1:36 – 1:37)

3-235

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT** ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of the ratification of Jennifer Ellis in a Council support position to the Ward 3 office – Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Mayor/Council
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Pursuant to Section 3.020 of the City Charter, all Council positions are subject to ratification by the City Council. Positions in the Council offices require consistency, an established good rapport with constituents and other City staff, and the utmost in confidentiality. It is important for Council members to be able to establish and maintain a close working relationship with their staff in order to efficiently and effectively represent their Ward so that they can focus on the issues they were elected to address. Ms. Jennifer Ellis has served as City Administrative Receptionist for the Council offices.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council ratify the appointment of Jennifer Ellis to Councilman Reese's Office.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)
Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)
Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that Item 37 involves a location near a secondary access used by a SuperPawn shop owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, with whom he has recently entered into a business relationship, and Item 43 involves a location near a Timbers Bar and Grill owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that he would be voting on the aforementioned items because he has not discussed them with his brother or brother-in-law and he does not believe their business will be impacted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Consent – Administrative Services

Item 6 – Approval of the ratification of Jennifer Ellis in a Council support position to the Ward 3 office

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUILDING & SAFETY

DIRECTOR: PAUL K. WILKINS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an agreement with Pulte Homes which provides for the Department of Building & Safety to use 2 temporary employees to perform building inspections

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Pulte Homes approached the City of Las Vegas Department of Building and Safety requesting the use of 2 full-time temporary City Inspectors/Combination Inspectors to be used at various construction projects within the City limits. The program will be beneficial for both the City and Pulte Homes. The contract amount of One Hundred and Five Thousand Dollars (\$105,000) for each of the 2 inspectors will be paid to the City for the use of these 2 inspectors. The total contract amount is \$210,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FIELD OPERATIONS

DIRECTOR: LARRY HAUGSNESS

☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Approval of the donation of one 1992 Chevrolet Caprice 4-door sedan to the City of Ely in accordance with Nevada Revised Statutes 332.185

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/> Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Field Operations
<input type="checkbox"/> Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas would like to donate one Chevrolet Caprice 4-door sedan to the City of Ely. It has been determined that the vehicle is no longer required for public use and donating this vehicle is in the best interest of the City. This action is allowed according to NRS 332.185. The estimated salvage value of this vehicle is between \$1,000 and \$1,500. City of Ely will make all arrangements and incur all costs in relocating this vehicle.

Vehicle #2155 - 1992 Chevrolet Caprice (odometer reading: 75,168)

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approves the donation of a Chevrolet Caprice 4-door sedan to the City of Ely.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter from City of Ely City Clerk/Treasurer's Office

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)
Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)
Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)
1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$49,707,369.75

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: All Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 04/16/03 - 04/30/03

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$	13,580,234.04
Total Payroll Checks	\$	4,937,744.70
Total Wire Transfers	\$	31,189,391.01

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: May 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Notice of Intent to Augment the Fiscal Year 2003 Annual Budget of the City of Las Vegas General Fund

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

No Impact

Budget Funds Available

Augmentation Required

Amount:

Dept./Division: Budget & Finance Division

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Publish notice of the City's intent to act on a resolution to augment the FY2003 Budget of the General Fund in an amount not to exceed \$15 million in order to effect an increase in appropriations, pursuant to NAC 354.410 through NAC 354.450. Public hearing to be held in City Council Chambers June 4, 2003.

RECOMMENDATION:

Accept intent to augment and authorize notice to be properly posted and published.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Notice for Publication

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Araxie Arabian, 9516 Chanticleer Court, Araxie Arabian, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots, Westronics, Inc., db at Bells Market, 720 West Owens Avenue - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to a six-month review (11/5/2003) – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

Under Item 6, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that this matter be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

The applicant, **JAMAL JEBERAEEL**, was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, recommended approval with a six-month review.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that MR. JEBERAEEL was very cooperative, and then confirmed with him that he is going to make sure that the slots are placed in an area inaccessible to minors, as well as increase store security.

There was no related discussion.

(9:48 – 9:51)

1-1348

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, From: Shuichi Tsukada, dba Teru Sushi, Shuichi Tsukada, 100%, To: Melanie S. Kim, dba Odoko Japanese Restaurant, 700 East Sahara Avenue, Melanie S. Kim, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Liquor Caterer License, Hacienda Gardens Banquet Hall, Inc., dba From: Drinks "R" Us Liquor Catering, To: Hacienda Gardens Banquet Hall, Inc., 4250 East Bonanza Road, Suite 10, Gloria Pulido, Dir, Pres, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Liquor Caterer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: May 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Y2K, Inc., dba All Sports Bar & Grill, Timothy J. Korney, Pres, 19%, James A. Hamilton, Secy, 25%, Kathy L. Korney, Treas, 19%, Judith E. Hamilton, Dir, 25%, To: All Sports Pub & Poker, LLC, dba King Tut's Pub & Poker, 6138 West Charleston Boulevard, Derek C. LaFavor, Mmbr, 65%, Kevin G. Tuttle, Mmbr, 25%, Douglas G. McKay, 10% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Hypnotist License, Dr. Zvia Ambar, dba Dr. Zvia Ambar, 8020 West Sahara Avenue Suite 220, Zvia S. Ambar, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Hypnotist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License, Richard Leoni, dba United Martial Arts, 1591 North Buffalo Drive, Unit 130, Richard S. Leoni, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Preapproval of award of Bid Number 03.1730.08-CW, Buffalo Drive Road Improvements, Cheyenne to Lone Mountain to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder or best bidder and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works (Monetary range \$9,000,000 to \$12,000,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$12,000,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The project location is Buffalo Drive, Cheyenne to Lone Mountain Rd. The work consists of full roadway improvements including removal of asphalt pavement, roadway excavation, aggregate base, new asphalt pavement, curb & gutter, sidewalk, major storm drain improvements, concrete driveways, traffic signage, conduit, street lighting and select traffic signals.

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council preapprove award of Bid #03.1730.08-CW, Buffalo Road Improvements, Cheyenne to Lone Mt to lowest responsive & responsible bidder or best bidder & approve a construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance. Authority to execute contract is given to P&C Mgr per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 030260-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Janitorial Cleaning Supplies - Department of Finance & Business Services - Award recommended to: ADVANCE MARKETING CORPORATION for Lots I, II & V and BRADY INDUSTRIES for Lots III, IV & VI (Estimated annual aggregate amount of \$400,000 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$400,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Finance & Business Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This is an annual requirements contract for the purchase of miscellaneous janitorial cleaning supplies.

POC: Lisa Burchard, Advance Marketing - (702) 735-0213

POC: Clayton Baldwin, Brady Industries - (702) 876-3990

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 030260-DAR, ARC for Janitorial Cleaning Supplies to Advance Marketing Corporation and Brady Industries from date of award through October 31, 2004, with (4) one-year renewal options in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$400,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number one to purchase order 213749 for an annual requirements contract for industrial supplies & equipment (TB) – Various Departments – Award to: W. W. GRAINGER (\$140,000 – General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$140,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On February 20, 2002, the City Council approved the award of an annual requirements contract for industrial supplies & equipment for use by various departments through September 30, 2003. Due to increased requirements for various City projects, the estimated annual amount has increased by \$140,000 or a revised total annual amount of \$356,000.

POC: Mike Wynn - (702) 499-1832

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of revision number one to purchase order 213749 to W. W. Grainger in the amount of \$140,000 (for a revised total annual amount of \$356,000) through September 30, 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Contract 030325, Upgrade of Fire Alarm System in the new City Hall Annex (LED) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: SIEMENS BUILDING TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (\$112,445 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$112,445☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

An upgrade to the fire alarm system in the newly constructed City Hall Annex is needed in order for that system to be compatible with the existing system within City Hall and Municipal Courts. The new system will be a Networked Siemens Firefinder XLS/MXL-V fire alarm with voice evacuation system.

This request is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(d), Equipment which, by reason of the training of the personnel or of an inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government is compatible with existing equipment.

POC: Jim Avanzino - (702) 453-5900

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Contract 030325 to Siemens Building Technologies, Inc. in the amount of \$112,445. Authority to execute contract on behalf of the City is given to the P&C Manager pursuant to R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003.

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of revision number one to Purchase Order 216197 for abatement/demolition and other related services of dangerous buildings to Capriati Construction, Inc. (LED) - Departments of Neighborhood Services & Public Works - Award to: CAPRIATI CONSTRUCTION, INC. (\$95,172 - Capital Projects Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$95,172☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighbrhd Serv & Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On December 19, 2001, City Council approved the award of Bid Number 020001-LED, Annual Requirements Contract for Abatement/Demolition and Other Related Services of Dangerous Buildings through December 31, 2002, with four one-year renewal options, in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$600,000. Award was made to various contractors, one of which was Capriati Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$27,300. Due to an unanticipated project requirement, additional funding in the amount of \$95,172 is required on the purchase order issued to Capriati Construction, Inc.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of revision number one to Purchase Order 216197 to Capriati Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$95,172.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an annual contract for technical support maintenance of Microsoft software for the city-wide computer network (LW) - Department of Information Technologies - Award Recommended to: MICROSOFT CORPORATION (Estimated annual amount of \$92,600 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$92,600☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Information Technologies☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for an annual contract with Microsoft Corporation to provide technical support maintenance of existing and additional Microsoft software used on the city-wide computer network.

This requirement is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Margaret Gardiner - (425) 704-4864

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for software technical support to Microsoft Corp. in the estimated annual amount of \$92,600 for the period 5/25/03-5/23/04 w/ annual renewals as long as the competitive bidding exception applies. Authority to execute contract per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11 and 13-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Contract No. 030320, Employment Development Services - EVOLVE Program (LR) - Department of Neighborhood Services - Award recommended to: THE BUSINESS CONNECTION (\$80,000 - Special Revenue Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$80,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Special Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This contract provides for employment development services in support of the EVOLVE Program for an initial one-year period from June 1, 2003 through May 31, 2004, with two (2) six-month options, for a total not to exceed cost of \$80,000. This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding procedures pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(b), professional services.

POC: Dee L. Schafer - (702) 433-0310

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the award of Contract No. 030320 to The Business Connection from June 1, 2003 through May 31, 2004 with two (2) six-month renewal options for a total not to exceed cost of \$80,000. Authority to execute contract on behalf of the City is given to P&C Manager per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Certificate - Disclosure of Ownership/Principals

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of issuance of purchase orders for Leisure Services' summer program field trips (GL) - Department of Leisure Services - Award recommended to: VARIOUS VENDORS (Estimated aggregate amount of \$60,500 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$60,500☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Leisure Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

These purchases will provide Leisure Services with the ability to provide summer field trips for its children's programs to various local family-oriented entertainment establishments throughout the Las Vegas area including trips to Circus Circus Adventuredome, Wet N Wild Water Park and Chuck E. Cheese.

These purchases are exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve issuance of purchase orders for the Leisure Services' summer program field trips to various local family-oriented entertainment establishments in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$60,500, with annual renewals as long as the competitive bidding exception applies.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an annual requirements contract for Asphalt Repair Material (DAR) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: CONSTRUCTION SEALANTS & SUPPLY
(Estimated annual usage amount of \$60,000 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$60,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations/Streets☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for proprietary asphalt repair material used in the daily pothole repairs.

This item is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Vern Phillips - (702) 873-0203

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve an ARC for asphalt repair materials to Construction Sealants & Supply from date of award through 6/30/04 with annual renewals as long as the competitive bidding exemption applies, in the estimated annual usage amount of \$60,000. Authority to execute contract per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of issuance of a purchase order for a Climbing Wall at Lorenzi Park (DAR) -
Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: MIRACLE PLAYGROUND SALES
(\$35,393 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$35,393

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Parks

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of a prefabricated climbing wall to be installed at Lorenzi Park.

This item is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Henry Sudweeks - (800) 905-1411

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for a climbing wall to Miracle Playground Sales in the amount of \$35,393.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of to contract with BenefitElect for Flex Plan Administration Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

☐

Budget Funds Available

☐

Augmentation Required

Amount:

Dept./Division: Human Resources

Funding Source: N/A

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City has used BenefitElect as the Third Party Administrator (TPA) for the Flex Benefit Plan since 1996. This contract reflects the updated privacy revisions required by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). There is no cost to the City of Las Vegas as monthly administration fees are paid by the participating employee.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the contract with BenefitElect for Third Party Administrator services.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Plan Services Agreement for City of Las Vegas Cafeteria - Flex Plus Plan

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of awarding \$35,000 of CDBG Public Service (Community Development Block Grant) funds to HELP of Southern Nevada for operating a tenant based rental assistance program for homeless families and individuals - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$35,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CDBG**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On April 16, 2003, City Council approved a \$300,000 HOME grant to HELP of Southern Nevada to provide rental and utility assistance for alleviating the valley-wide homeless problem. HOME funds can only be used for actual rental and utility assistance. CDBG funding will be used to cover the operating costs of the program, such as staff salaries, supplies, etc.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve this grant award for administering the tenant based rental assistance program and authorize the Mayor to execute the agreement upon approval by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of expending \$31,483 of Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME) funds for housing rehabilitation at 2212 West Washington Avenue - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$31,483☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** HOME**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Mrs. Wilson is a single homeowner raising her four grandchildren. Social security survivor's benefits and unemployment insurance total \$16,944 annually for the household. This income qualifies her for a HOME funded housing rehab deferred loan. The home is in need of sewer repair, plumbing and electrical repairs, windows, wall repair and paint, flooring and doors. Total job cost includes payment to the lowest responsive general contractor, emergency sewer repairs, preliminary title and credit reports, recording fees, real estate appraisal, and work contract contingency.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the loan and authorize the Mayor to execute the Deferred Loan Agreement with the homeowner approved by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Deferred Loan Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Poggemeyer Design Group, Inc. to prepare a master plan of the Kyle Canyon Gateway Area (\$60,000 - General Fund) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$60,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Planning & Development/Comp.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The purpose of this item is to approve a contract to employ the services of Poggemeyer Design Group, Inc. to prepare a master plan of the Kyle Canyon Gateway Area, an approximate 1,600 acre area located near Kyle Canyon Road, on both sides of U.S. Highway 95.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Dedication from the City of Las Vegas, a Municipal Corporation, for a portion of the Southeast Quarter of Section 27, T20S, R60E, Mount Diablo Base Meridian, for street Right-of-Way to dedicate 10 additional feet on Bonanza Road and a 25 foot radius and an easement for sewer purposes located at the northwest corner of Bonanza Road and 9th Street, Parcel Number 139-27-805-001 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for a portion of the Southeast Quarter of Section 5, T20S, R60E, Mount Diablo Base Meridian, for sewer purposes located on the south side of the Hickam Avenue alignment, west of Durango Drive, Parcel Number 138-05-801-007, -008 and -009 - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Contract Number 431 between the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada, Clark County and the Cities of Las Vegas, North Las Vegas and Henderson to design and construct traffic capacity and safety improvements within the entities participating in the 2002-2003 Traffic Capacity and Safety Improvement Projects Interlocal Contract (\$3,413,152 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$3,413,152☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Regional Transportation
Commission of Southern Nevada**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Interlocal Contract Number 431 for 2002-2003 Traffic Capacity and Safety Improvement Projects allows for design and construction of traffic capacity and safety improvements within the entities participating in this contract. Total cost shall not exceed \$3,413,152 for all entities.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract Number 431

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Bill of Sale to the Las Vegas Valley Water District for transfer of ownership of water distribution facilities installed in conjunction with the I-15 Freeway Channel Project, Alta Drive to Wall Street and Sahara Avenue Bypass - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City desires to transfer ownership of water distribution facilities to the Las Vegas Valley Water District. These facilities were installed in conjunction with the I-15 Freeway Channel Project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill of Sale

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Bill of Sale to the Las Vegas Valley Water District for transfer of ownership of water distribution facilities installed in conjunction with the Mojave Road Improvements Project, Stewart Avenue to Owens Avenue - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City desires to transfer ownership of water distribution facilities to the Las Vegas Valley after Water District. These facilities were installed in conjunction with the Mojave Road Improvements Project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill of Sale

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement Number 108917 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for construction of a water lateral as part of Special Improvement District Number 1499 - Alexander Road, US-95 to Rancho Drive Project - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas is currently designing roadway and utility improvements on Alexander Road from US-95 to Rancho Drive. This interlocal agreement will allow construction of a water lateral as part of the Special Improvement District.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement #108917

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that Item 37 involves a location near a secondary access used by a SuperPawn shop owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, with whom he has recently entered into a business relationship, and Item 43 involves a location near a Timbers Bar and Grill owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that he would be voting on the aforementioned items because he has not discussed them with his brother or brother-in-law and he does not believe their business will be impacted.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Tetra Tech on behalf of Town Center Ventures, LLC, owner (southeast corner of Gilcrease Avenue and Tee Pee Lane) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of landscaping along the Gilcrease Avenue, Tee Pee Lane, and Fort Apache Road property lines and also on the median in Fort Apache Road south of Gilcrease Avenue. All of the landscaping shall consist of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system to meet Town Center Landscaping Requirements for the proposed Aventine/Barada Phase 1 subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (area bound by Tee Pee Lane, Gilcrease Avenue, and Fort Apache Road)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (cross sections of Tee Pee Lane, Gilcrease Avenue, and Fort Apache Road)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from John Davis on behalf of Church Pentecostal Temple of God, owner (Madison Avenue west of "F" Street) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of an approximate 11feet wide area of landscaping on the north side of Madison Avenue extending approximately 50 feet along the property line consisting of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system for the Pentecostal Temple parking lot addition. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (Madison Avenue west of "F" Street)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Amendment Number 3 to the Interlocal Contract with Clark County for the Department of Social Services to assist in evaluating City-designated special improvement district hardship applications (\$35 an hour/estimated \$1,000 a year) - (Revolving Special Improvement District Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$35 an hour/estimate \$1000/yr.☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Revolving Special Improvement District Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Per Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 271.357, the City is required to have a hardship evaluation process in place for those residents included in a City-designated special improvement district. This interlocal contract with Clark County allows the City to use the services provided by Clark County Social Services to evaluate applications received by property owners requesting hardship assistance. This amendment is required to exercise the third of four (4) one-year options to renew and extend the terms of the contract from July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Amendment Number 3 to the Interlocal Contract

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County School District for Traffic Control Improvements for future new schools within the City of Las Vegas - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Traffic Eng.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas and the Clark County School District have entered into an agreement in which the School District will provide off-site school-generated traffic control devices for future new schools and the city will install them and also maintain and repair them. The School District will reimburse the city for the actual cost of the improvements.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Traffic Control Improvements Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-81-2003 - Approval of a Resolution authorizing the issuance and sale of economic development revenue bonds for The Andre Agassi Charitable Foundation - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

These economic development revenue bonds will finance a private coeducational elementary and middle school of the Andre Agassi College Preparatory Academy. These bonds will not represent an indebtedness of the City and will be repaid pursuant to an agreement between the City and the Andre Agassi Charitable Foundation, and from moneys drawn from an irrevocable letter of credit.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-81-2003

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-82-2003 - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 25-II - 35 Miles Per Hour Speed Limits, and Schedule 25-IV - 45 Miles Per Hour Speed Limits, to Change the Speed Limit on Cheyenne Avenue between the 215 Beltway and US 95 from 35 Miles Per Hour to 45 Miles Per Hours - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

No Impact**Budget Funds Available****Augmentation Required****Amount:****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Traffic Eng.**Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Traffic studies conducted on Cheyenne Avenue from the 215 Beltway to US 95 showed that the 85th percentile speed ranged from 40 mph to 52 mph and the volume of traffic averaged 14,000 vehicles per day. This action will confirm the existing segments of Cheyenne Avenue that are currently posted 45 mph, raise the speed limit to 45 mph along the segments currently posted 35 mph, and update the Traffic Schedule to reflect these changes.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map

2. Resolution No. R-82-2003

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that Item 37 involves a location near a secondary access used by a SuperPawn shop owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, with whom he has recently entered into a business relationship, and Item 43 involves a location near a Timbers Bar and Grill owned by his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER. COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that he would be voting on the aforementioned items because he has not discussed them with his brother or brother-in-law and he does not believe their business will be impacted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Consent – Resolutions

Item 43 – R-82-2003

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of Interlocal Agreement Number 109073 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for water service to Parcel Number 139-31-801-014 known as the redevelopment of Fire Station #5 located in the vicinity of Hinson Street and Charleston Boulevard (\$39,269 - Fire & Rescue Capital Improvement Projects) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$39,269☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fire & Rescue☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Fire & Rescue Capital Improvement Projects**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A necessary part of this project is the installation of water service. Before LVVWD will sign the service connection documents and allow the City to install the water service, the Interlocal Agreement with conditional water commitment must be executed.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Interlocal Agreement #109073
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Consent – Real Estate

Item 44 - Approval of Interlocal Agreement Number 109073 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for water service to Parcel Number 139-31-801-014 known as the redevelopment of Fire Station #5 located in the vicinity of Hinson Street and Charleston Boulevard (\$39,269 - Fire & Rescue Capital Improvement Projects)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Valley Water District for water lines and appurtenance(s) to service a portion of Parcel Number 139-31-801-014 for the redevelopment of Fire Station #5 located in the vicinity of Hinson Street and Charleston Boulevard - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City is in the process of going out to bid for the construction of the redevelopment of Fire Station #5. In order to have water lines and appurtenance(s) to service the site, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to LVVWD for construction of the water lines and appurtenance(s).

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Easement and Rights-of-Way

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Dedication on Parcel Number 139-31-801-014 for a public drainage easement in relation to the redevelopment of Fire Station #5 located in the vicinity of Hinson Street and Charleston Boulevard - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Dedication is necessary for a public drainage easement, over, across and under, Parcel Number 139-31-801-014 to serve Fire Station #5, located at 1020 Hinson Street.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Dedication
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Valley Water District for a fire hydrant to service the redevelopment of Fire Station #5 located in the vicinity of Hinson Street and Charleston Boulevard - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is in the process of going out to bid for the construction of Fire Station #5. In order to have a fire hydrant to service the site, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to LVVWD for construction of the fire hydrant and appurtenances.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Easement and Rights-of-Way

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of Interlocal Agreement Number 108978 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for water service to Parcel Number 162-03-514-052 known as Huntridge Circle Park (\$850 - Parks Capital Improvement Projects) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$850**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Parks Capital Improvement Projects****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A necessary part of this project is the installation of water service. Before Las Vegas Valley Water District will sign the service connection documents and allow the City to install the water service, the Interlocal Agreement must be executed.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Interlocal Agreement
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Valley Water District for water lines and appurtenance(s) to service a portion of Parcel Number 162-03-514-052 for the renovations of Huntridge Circle Park located at 1251 South Maryland Parkway - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is in the process of renovating Huntridge Circle Park. In order to have water lines and appurtenance(s) to service the site, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to Las Vegas Valley Water District for construction of the water lines and appurtenance(s).

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Easement and Rights-of-Way

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Valley Water District for a water facilities easement to service a portion of Parcel Number 138-31-501-003 known as Angel Park Golf Course located in the vicinity of Rampart Boulevard and Alta Drive - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to have water lines and appurtenance(s) to service the site, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to Las Vegas Valley Water District for construction of the water lines and appurtenance(s).

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Easement and Rights-of-Way

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of the Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Residential House located at 6280 Queen Irene Court to Jerry Parker, Dorothy Parker, Lee Sorensen, Joyce Sorensen and William Gibson for \$11,000 (incoming funds less closing costs to be applied towards Road Projects/Rights-of-Way acquisition) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$11,000 incoming funds☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Road Projects/R-O-W acquisition**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In preparation for the upcoming Durango "S" Curve road alignment, staff will be selling the homes acquired in 2000 & retain the land for road alignment usage. Staff was granted approval at Council 4/16/03 to sell this home. The Parker's, Sorensen's & Mr. Gibson were the highest qualified buyer offering \$11,000. The group holds title to vacant land on which to place the home & also has funds to purchase, dismantle & move the home using a licensed contractor. Any incoming funds (less closing costs) will be applied towards Road Projects/Rights-of-Way acquisition.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Residential House

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Consent – Real Estate

Item 51 - Approval of the Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Residential House located at 6280 Queen Irene Court to Jerry Parker, Dorothy Parker, Lee Sorensen, Joyce Sorensen and William Gibson for \$11,000 (incoming funds less closing costs to be applied towards Road Projects/Rights-of-Way acquisition)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Bill of Sale from the City of Las Vegas to Jerry Parker, Dorothy Parker, Lee Sorensen, Joyce Sorensen and William Gibson in conjunction with their purchase of a City-owned home located at 6280 Queen Irene Court - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In preparation for the upcoming Durango "S" Curve road alignment, staff will be selling the homes acquired in 2000 & retain the land for road alignment usage. Staff was granted approval at Council 4/16/03 to sell this home. The Parker's, Sorensen's & Mr. Gibson were the highest qualified buyer offering \$11,000. The group holds title to vacant land on which to place the home & has funds to purchase, dismantle & move the home using a licensed contractor. The incoming funds (less closing costs) from the sale of this guest home will be applied towards Road Projects/Rights-of-Way acquisition.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval for the Mayor to execute the Bill of Sale

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill of Sale

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Consent – Real Estate

Item 52 - Approval of a Bill of Sale from the City of Las Vegas to Jerry Parker, Dorothy Parker, Lee Sorensen, Joyce Sorensen and William Gibson in conjunction with their purchase of a City-owned home located at 6280 Queen Irene Court

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of the Agreement for the Purchase and Sale of Residential House located at 8660 Azure Drive to Centennial Hills, LLC for \$75,000 (incoming funds less closing costs to be applied towards Road Projects/Rights-of-Way acquisition) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$75,000 incoming funds☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Road Projects/R-O-W acquisition**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In preparation for the upcoming Durango "S" Curve road alignment, staff will be selling the homes acquired in 2000 & retain the land for road alignment usage. Staff was granted approval at Council 3/19/03 to sell this home. Centennial Hills, LLC was the highest qualified buyer offering \$75,000, they hold title to vacant land on which to place the home & also have funds to purchase, dismantle & move the home using a licensed contractor. Any incoming funds (less closing costs) will be applied towards Road Projects/Rights-of-Way acquisition.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Residential House

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Consent – Real Estate

Item 53 - Approval of the Agreement for the Purchase and Sale of Residential House located at 8660 Azure Drive to Centennial Hills, LLC for \$75,000 (incoming funds less closing costs to be applied towards Road Projects/Rights-of-Way acquisition)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Bill of Sale from the City of Las Vegas to Centennial Hills, LLC in conjunction with their purchase of a City-owned home located at 8660 Azure Drive - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In preparation for the upcoming Durango "S" Curve road alignment, staff will be selling the homes acquired in 2000 & retain the land for road alignment usage. Staff was granted approval at Council 3/19/03 to sell this home. Centennial Hills, LLC was the highest qualified buyer(s) offering \$75,000, holding title to vacant land on which to place the home & having funds to purchase, dismantle & move the home using a licensed contractor. The incoming funds (less closing costs) from the sale of this guest home will be applied towards Road Projects/Rights-of-Way acquisition.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval for the Mayor to execute the Bill of Sale

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill of Sale

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Consent – Real Estate

Item 54 - Approval of a Bill of Sale from the City of Las Vegas to Centennial Hills, LLC in conjunction with their purchase of a City-owned home located at 8660 Azure Drive

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Agreement for the Sale of Real Property between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and North Airport Center, LLC, for City-owned Parcel Numbers 139-22-313-004 through 139-22-313-010 (seven vacant lots averaging 6,907 square feet) located on Gregory Street between Elliot and Alexander Avenues (\$138,265 revenue less associated closing costs - Parks Capital Improvement Project Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$138,265 revenue-closing costs☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Parks Capital Improvement Project Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On 1/17/01, Council approved marketing of the seven (7) parcels listed above. These are vacant parcels of land that the City has no current or future plans for. The land has been vacant for decades and has the potential to be alleviated and provide revitalization of the area. The lots are currently zoned R-3, Medium Density Residential and Apartment District, and each lot is an average size of 6,907 square feet.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agreement for the Sale of Real Property
2. Counter Offer

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 55 to 6/4/2003 and STRIKE Items 57, 64, and 66 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1161

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations with From Dreams To Reality for lease of approximately 2,800 square feet of office space located at Stupak Community Center, 300 West Boston Avenue - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

From Dreams To Reality (FDTR) is in need of office space to maintain operation of its business which supports the needs of immigrants and their families and to those less fortunate. There is approximately 2,800 feet of usable office space available at Stupak Community Center, which can be used to accommodate their office.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Site Map
2. Disclosure

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to submit a letter to the Bureau of Land Management relinquishing approximately 0.27 acres of City-leased Bureau of Land Management land known as a portion of Parcel Number 125-20-501-002 in the vicinity of Elkhorn Road and US 95 in favor of the State of Nevada Department of Transportation for the planning and design of the Elkhorn Overpass - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On March 10, 2003, Public Works/Real Estate received a letter from Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) requesting the City to relinquish a portion of land from the existing Recreation & Public Purpose (R&PP) Lease #N-56125 in favor of NDOT. The R&PP Lease is for Mountain Ridge Park. The 5 yr. Lease was issued to the City on 11/11/99 w/a 5-yr. renewal granted on 2/29/00. NDOT's request is in coordination with the City regarding the planning and design of the Elkhorn Overpass to bridge the US-95 freeway. The request has been reviewed and approved by the City Engineer.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend this item be stricken

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter of Relinquishment
2. Nevada Department of Transportation's Request Letter
3. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 55 to 6/4/2003 and STRIKE Items 57, 64, and 66 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1161

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Memorandum of Lease between the City of Las Vegas and The Charter School Development Foundation for property located on the southwest corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and J Street - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In order to obtain financing for Phase II of the Charter School, the lender is requesting verification of terms contained in the original 2/1/01 Lease through a Memorandum of Lease to state a description of the Premises and the term of lease. This Memorandum of Lease specifies that if there is any inconsistency between the terms of this instrument and the Lease, the terms of the Lease shall prevail.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval as amended

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Memorandum of Lease

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of Amendment Number 1 to Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and The Charter School Development Foundation for property located on the southwest corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and J Street - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Amendment corrects each reference of the incorrect name, Charter School Development Foundation, by adding the word "The" immediately preceding such incorrect name, correcting the state of incorporation and a subsection protecting the City's liability and credit against any voluntary termination of the lease.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Amendment No. 1

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and In-House Productions at the Las Vegas Business Center (\$31,536 revenue/36 months-Las Vegas Business Center Operations Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$31,536/36 months (income)

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Las Vegas Business Center Operations Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In-House Productions provides business support services, convention services, and contract labor to the entertainment industry. In-House Productions' lease term is three years with three one-year options for renewal.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and In-House Productions at the Las Vegas Business Center.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Lease Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action** (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval for Office District Parking I, Inc., as Purchaser, to enter into a Purchase and Sales Agreement with Gerry R. Alesia and Antoinette Alesia (50%), Paul Orfalea (25%), and Dennis Itule and Sheridan Itule (25%), Trustees of the Itule Family Trust dated October 19, 1987, collectively as Seller, for a parcel commonly known as 608 South Fourth Street, in the amount of \$400,000 including brokerage fees (APN 139-34-311-108) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$400,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: OBD/Economic Division**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Industrial Revenue Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This is a willing buyer/willing seller transaction with a final negotiated price that is the same for both 604 and 608 South Fourth Street. The acquisition of these 2 remaining parcels will allow the City to offer a prominent redevelopment parcel in the future within the Office Core District and immediately adjacent to the City's new parking structure.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Purchase and Sales Agreement
2. Location Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Consent – Real Estate

Item 61 - Approval for Office District Parking I, Inc., as Purchaser, to enter into a Purchase and Sales Agreement with Gerry R. Alesia and Antoinette Alesia (50%), Paul Orfalea (25%), and Dennis Itule and Sheridan Itule (25%), Trustees of the Itule Family Trust dated October 19, 1987, collectively as Seller, for a parcel commonly known as 608 South Fourth Street, in the amount of \$400,000 including brokerage fees (APN 139-34-311-108)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval for Office District Parking I, Inc., as purchaser, to enter into a Purchase and Sales Agreement with Harold & Linda Foster Trust, as Seller, for a parcel commonly known as 604 South Fourth Street, in the amount of \$400,000 (APN 139-34-311-109) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$400,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** OBD/Economic Division☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Industrial Special Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This is a willing buyer/willing seller transaction with a final negotiated price that is the same for both 604 and 608 South Fourth Street. The acquisition of these 2 remaining parcels will allow the City to offer a prominent redevelopment parcel in the future within the Office Core District and immediately adjacent to the City's new parking structure.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/19/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Purchase and Sales Agreement
2. Location Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 6-11, 13-54, 56, and 58-62 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 31 because Poggemeyer Design Group is a client of MK², with whom he does consulting work

Item 12: **APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

Item 55: **ABEYANCE** to 6/4/2003 under separate action (see individual item)

Item 57: **STRICKEN** under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK reported that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item, including Item 58, as amended, be approved by the City Council, with Item 55 previously held in abeyance and Item 57 previously stricken.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:48)

1-1236

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: ELIZABETH FRETWELL** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****ADMINISTRATIVE:**

Report and possible action concerning the status of 2003 legislative issues

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff will report on pending legislation of the 2003 Nevada State Legislature.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council accept report and direct staff, if necessary.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – ACCEPTED the Report – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL deferred her report to CHRIS KNIGHT, Director of Administrative Services, as she had to catch a plane to Carson City.

MR. KNIGHT reported that Friday, May 16, 2003, was the deadline for most bills to pass the second house. AB-244, AB-292, and AB-397 died. AB-244 proposed to extend the rural preservation neighborhoods; therefore, the rural preservation neighborhoods will no longer be a consideration in zoning issues. AB-292 proposed restriction on local governments from challenging the initiative petitions and referendums and recall; therefore, the City retains its ability to question those issues as well. AB-397 proposed restrictions on local units of government related to the use of eminent domain for right-of-way acquisition.

He then reported on bills of interest to the City that did pass. AB-135 involved nuisance abatement. AB-390 relates to sidewalk maintenance. AB-291 concerns terms for planning commission members.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Administrative'

Item 63 – Report and possible action concerning the status of 2003 legislative issues

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. KNIGHT noted that the focus of the City at the legislature has now shifted to the taxation issues. There are several tax proposals in both the assembly and the senate taxation committees. SB-308, about which the Mayor inquired, is included in one of the tax proposals. Staff is monitoring it very closely and working with the Urban Consortium, which is made up of the five major cities in Nevada, to voice opposition.

MAYOR GOODMAN mentioned that he signed a letter addressed to SENATOR McGUINNESS, along with the mayors of the five largest cities in Nevada, voicing opposition to any bill resembling SB-308.

At the request of COUNCILMAN MACK, MR. KNIGHT gave a brief synopsis on the bill regarding sidewalk maintenance. The bill was proposed by the Southern Nevada Homebuilders to make the City fully responsible for all sidewalk maintenance.

Legislative staff worked with the homebuilders and reached a compromise that the City will be responsible for maintenance in cases where the property owner can demonstrate that the sidewalk was damaged because of natural causes. An ordinance will have to be drafted for Council's adoption defining the situations under which the City will maintain sidewalks.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested from MR. KNIGHT some of the salient points for AB-291 regarding planning commissions and their membership, given that the initial proposal was to abolish planning commissions altogether. MR. KNIGHT indicated that the planning commission members will now serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority, whereas, currently they can only be removed for just cause. The bill also addressed the number of times any matter can be held in abeyance and gives discretion to the councils and planning commissions. The applicant can only request abeyance twice before both the planning commissions and the councils. It also provides a better definition of aggrieved parties.

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC acknowledged JOHN SWENSEID, City's Bond Counsel, who on very short notice appeared before the legislative bodies and spoke about the effects AB-292 would have on the cities. Through his efforts that bill died. MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his appreciation.

There was no further discussion.

(9:51 – 9:58)

1-1425

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Terry Weathers, 3120 South Wynn Road, Apt. #19, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 55 to 6/4/2003 and STRIKE Items 57, 64, and 66 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1161

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Held in Abeyance from May 7, 2003. Fabio Armario Mejia, 5005 Chambliss Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89130

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED a site-specific work card subject to a one-year (5/19/2004) review - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: This matter was trailed until the arrival of DETECTIVE STACY RODD.

The appellant was present, accompanied by SHARON HABBERFELD, owner of AJ's Mini-Mart.

DETECTIVE STACY RODD, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), indicated that he has had extensive discussions with MS. HABBERFELD, who is willing to employ MR. MEJIA. MS. HABBERFELD indicated that MR. MEJIA has been under her watch for about a year. She believes people who have made mistakes should be given a second opportunity.

There was no further discussion.

(9:58/10:32 – 10:35)
1-1702-1-3342

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Marganna, Inc., dba Las Vegas Bar and Liquors, Anna M. Rozen, Dir, Pres, 50%, Margaret Guarini, Dir, Secy, Treas, 50%, To: L.V.B.L. Las Vegas Bar & Liquor, LLC, dba Las Vegas Bar and Liquor, 1600 East Sahara Avenue, Robert J. A. Ferranti, Sr., Mgr, Mmbr, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided after discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 55 to 6/4/2003 and STRIKE Items 57, 64, and 66 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1161

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, TKO Family Martial Arts, LLC, dba TKO Family Martial Arts, 450 South Buffalo Drive, Suite 111, James J. Kobielsky, Mgr, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Justin Kobielsky
3. Map

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED the temporary license subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met the requirements for the Council to issue a temporary license. He requested authority to grant the permanent license after completion of the appropriate process.

MR. KOBIELSKY thanked COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD for meeting with him about the temporary license.

There was no further discussion.

(9:58 – 10:00)

1-1731

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding an Appeal of Denial of Business License for an Independent Massage Therapist, Feng Chen, dba Feng Chen, 7450 West Cheyenne Avenue, Suite 113, Feng Chen, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Denial of Business License

RECOMMENDATION:

Uphold denial of the license

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Feng Chen

MOTION:

BROWN – ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present, accompanied by her attorney, BENSON LEE, and her court-certified interpreter, ALEX YOUNG.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that prior to the meeting, he had a discussion with ATTORNEY BENSON LEE, who requested the matter be held in abeyance in order to allow both parties to view certain documents that might help them arrive at a better understanding. ATTORNEY LEE concurred with MR. DiFIORE'S comments and requested abeyance so that MS. CHEN and her interpreter can meet with MR. DiFIORE.

There was no further discussion.

(9:58 – 10:00)

1-1731

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Robin Ellen Dzvonick, 100%, To: Rosemac, Inc., dba Thunderbird Lounge, 1215 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Rosemary Aubry, Dir, Pres, Treas, 100%, John M. Cameron, Dir, Secy - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Pat Drury

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the temporary license subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicants met the requirements for a temporary license. He requested authority to grant the permanent license after completion of the appropriate process. COUNCILMAN REESE said that this is a trouble area and wished the applicants success in this endeavor.

There was no further discussion.

(10:02 – 10:04)

1-1887

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Tita's, Inc., dba Juanitas Restaurant, 4440 East Washington Avenue, Suite 106, Jose Martinez, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Jose Martinez
3. Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the temporary license subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present, accompanied by his friend FLORENCIO DeBLANC, who interpreted, even though he is not court-certified.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met the requirements for a temporary license. He requested authority to grant the permanent license after completion of the appropriate process. COUNCILMAN REESE confirmed with MR. DeBLANC, through the interpreter, that he understands that the beer is for sale for consumption on premise. He wished the applicant success in this endeavor, especially since this is a troubled area.

There was no further discussion.

(10:04 – 10:06)

1-1960

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of a Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots, E-T-T, Inc., db at Texaco Star Mart, 9991 West Charleston Boulevard, Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group, LLC, Participant in Gaming Revenue, William R. Phillips, Mmbr, Mgr, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of a Restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE of Items 71, 72, 73, and 74 to 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a potential financial commitment with one of the principals of Peccole Nevada

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

COUNCILMAN REESE said he would like to hold 71, 72, 73, and 74 in abeyance for two weeks. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD verified with JIM DiFIORE, Manager of Business Services, that holding these items would not pose a problem for the applicants.

There was no further discussion.

(10:06 – 10:08)

1-2056

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding Approval to Participate in Revenue for a Restricted Gaming License for 5 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Texaco Star Mart, 1500 West Charleston Boulevard, Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group, LLC, Participant in Gaming Revenue, William R. Phillips, Mmbr, Mgr, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval to Participate in Revenue for a Restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE of Items 71, 72, 73, and 74 to 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a potential financial commitment with one of the principals of Peccole Nevada

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager of Business Services, was present.

See related Item 71 for discussion.

(10:06 – 10:08)

1-2056

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding Approval to Participate in Revenue for a Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Texaco Star Mart, 598 North Eastern Avenue, Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group, LLC, Participant in Gaming Revenue, William R. Phillips, Mmbr, Mgr, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval to Participate in Revenue for a Restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE of Items 71, 72, 73, and 74 to 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a potential financial commitment with one of the principals of Peccole Nevada

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager of Business Services, was present.

See related Item 71 for discussion.

(10:06 – 10:08)

1-2056

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding Approval to Participate in Revenue for a Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Texaco Star Mart, 298 South Decatur Boulevard, Mixed Nuts Hospitality Group, LLC, Participant in Gaming Revenue, William R. Phillips, Mmbr, Mgr, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval to Participate in Revenue for a Restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE of Items 71, 72, 73, and 74 to 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a potential financial commitment with one of the principals of Peccole Nevada

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager of Business Services, was present.

See related Item 71 for discussion.

(10:06 – 10:08)

1-2056

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: BARBARA P. JACKSON, DPA ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Skate Park Safety Coalition - Various Wards

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Leisure Services-Adaptive/Sports
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff will report on status of Skate Park Coalition

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Council accept report and direct staff; if necessary

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Skate Park Coalition Executive Summary
2. Recruitment Flyer
3. Keep Skate Parks Open Flyer
4. AM JAM X Day Flyer
5. Vegas AM JAM Flyers (2)

MOTION:

REESE – ACCEPTED the Report – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, deferred to JOE WICHERT, Coordinator for Extreme Sports, to give an update.

MR. WICHERT reported on the accomplishments of the Skate Park Coalition since the last presentation. Through a team effort of governmental agencies, such as the City and County, private businesses, and the community, the Coalition in conjunction with Extreme Sports hosted five events at various parks. Over 17,000 spectators attended, and the events reached a new group of enthusiasts, enabling the Coalition to expand its educational goals. In addition, Skate Park Safety Coalition literature was passed out to hundreds of skaters, posted at all park locations around the city, and the participants and their parents were educated about the development and ongoing activities of the Coalition. The Extreme Sports unit and members of the Coalition have all noticed a significant decline in negative activity at or around the skate parks. A skate park safety hotline (229-1777) was created and surveillance cameras are being added to all City skate parks.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Leisure Services

Item 75 – Discussion and possible action on Skate Park Safety Coalition

MINUTES – Continued:

Finally, MR. WICHERT thanked the various companies who provided support and sponsorship, as well as the various City of Las Vegas departments and divisions who provided their assistance. Without their help the events could not have taken place.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MR. WICHERT, DR. JACKSON, COUNCILMAN BROWN, and DARCEY HAYES and LISA CAMPBELL, Council Liaisons, for all their hard work in forming the Coalition. He also thanked the Coalition itself.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that there have been some issues at the skate parks, but thanks to a lot of assistance and hard work the Coalition is making great strides in securing these facilities. There is still much to be done, but the creation of the safety hotline and the addition of surveillance cameras will greatly help deter illegal activity at the parks. In addition, the pilot program of installing safety monitors will help the people that use the parks by providing a tool kit, radio system, first aid kit, and promoting safety with the use of helmets and other necessary equipment to prevent accidents. Again, he thanked DR. JACKSON, and MR. WICHERT for his relentless dedication.

There was no further discussion.

(10:08 – 10:17)

1-2100

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Report on the status of the EVOLVE Demonstration/Pilot program whose goal is to provide job readiness, education, training and employment services to disadvantaged and dislocated participants - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Department presented a report at the February 19, 2003 City Council meeting which provided a detailed timeline and status update on the EVOLVE program. Since then, Neighborhood Services was awarded additional funding for the EVOLVE program from the Southern Nevada Workforce Investment Board (SNWIB) in the amount of \$530,000 which was approved and accepted by Council on April 16, 2003. The purpose of this report is to update the Council on the program timelines, implementation process, staffing requirements, professional services contracts and agreements.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: hard copy of scripted PowerPoint

MOTION:

None required. A report was given.

MINUTES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, and LISA MORRIS of Neighborhood Services were present. MS. SEGERBLOM reviewed the information under the Purpose/Background section above.

MS. MORRIS gave a status report on the EVOLVE program using a scripted PowerPoint document, a copy of which is made a part of these minutes.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that he hears people in the community speak about the ex-offenders as though they should never try to be reintegrated into society. But the truth of the matter is that this program is very important because ex-offenders receive \$21 when they are

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Neighborhood Services

Item 76 - Report on the status of the EVOLVE Demonstration/Pilot program whose goal is to provide job readiness, education, training and employment services to disadvantaged and dislocated participants

MINUTES – Continued:

released from prison and put on the streets. Consequently, most of them relapse to their former criminal behavior. It is important to rehabilitate ex-offenders and make them useful members of the community after they have paid their debt to society. Washington will closely scrutinize this program for future funding; therefore, it is imperative that staff work hard on this program and make the City proud.

There was no further discussion.

(10:17 – 10:26)

1-2565

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on allocating a total of \$585,390 in Department of Labor earmark funding to four EVOLVE program partners (Clark County School District, Board of Regents on behalf of UNLV, Board of Regents on behalf of UNR and Nevada Partners Inc. on behalf of the G.I.F.T. Program) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$585,390
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Admin
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: DOL Earmark

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Neighborhood Services was directed at the August 21, 2002 City Council Meeting to draft the necessary agreements for City Council approval. Agreements were created with four of the EVOLVE partners in accordance with the proposal submitted to the National Department of Labor (DOL) office that was approved on December 1, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve an allocation in the amount of \$585,390 in DOL Earmark funding to the four EVOLVE program partners and authorize the Mayor to execute the agreements approved by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. EVOLVE Program Agreement between the City and Clark County School District
3. EVOLVE Program Agreement between the City and Board of Regents on behalf of UNLV
4. EVOLVE Program Agreement between the City and Board of Regents on behalf of UNR
5. EVOLVE Program Agreement between the City and Nevada Partners Inc. on behalf of the G.I.F.T. Program

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, and LISA MORRIS of Neighborhood Services were present. MS. MORRIS highlighted the focus of each of the EVOLVE program partners. The Clark County School District seeks to adjust the shortage of available teachers in Clark County by implementing an alternative route to licensure program, which will provide education and training to 40 individuals in the field of special education. Similarly, UNLV seeks to reduce the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Neighborhood Services

Item 77 – Discussion and possible action on allocating a total of \$585,390 in Department of Labor earmark funding to four EVOLVE program partners (Clark County School District, Board of Regents on behalf of UNLV, Board of Regents on behalf of UNR and Nevada Partners Inc. on behalf of the G.I.F.T. Program)

MINUTES – Continued:

shortage of teachers in Clark County by implementing the Professional Development Initial Licensure program in both elementary and secondary, which is referred to as the Fast Track program. UNR will evaluate and provide a report that contains valuable information on project development, evaluation methods, findings, and lessons learned, which are required by the Labor Department. Nevada Partners, Inc., will provide mentors to EVOLVE participants, in particular the ex-offenders who enter the program. MS. MORRIS noted that representatives from each of the aforementioned entities were in the audience.

There was no further discussion.

(10:26 – 10:29)

1-2986

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the future operation and funding in an amount anticipated to be \$243,900 in FY2003/2004 for the Crisis Intervention Center - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact**☒**Budget Funds Available**☐**Augmentation Required****Amount:** Anticipated to be \$243,900**Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Admin**Funding Source:** Emergency Shelter
Grant/Weather Shelter Funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Crisis Intervention Center is a one-stop shop for supportive services for homeless individuals. At this single facility, homeless individuals can access over eleven different service providers. The untimely exit of the Saint Vincent de Paul Management organization caused the loss of federal funding for the Crisis Intervention Center. The remaining federal funding, as well as contributions from the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, and United Way expired on February 28, 2003. The Crisis Intervention Center is currently operating under a four-month extension with Catholic Charities. The current extension expires June 30, 2003 and is jointly funded by the city of Las Vegas and Clark County.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has no recommendation.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD abstaining because she is a Trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the Crisis Intervention Center is near a SuperPawn shop owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, with whom he has formed a new business relationship. However, he has not spoken with his brother about this matter and he does not believe his business would be affected, so he would be voting.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that for the past seven years she has served as a trustee of Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

Neighborhood Services

Item 78 – Discussion and possible action regarding the future operation and funding in an amount anticipated to be \$243,900 in FY2003/2004 for the Crisis Intervention Center

MINUTES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, was present.

MAYOR GOODMAN held the matter in abeyance, because he wrote a letter to all the governmental entities in Southern Nevada addressing the homeless issue and the Crisis Intervention Center as a regional problem. He clearly stated that the City's participation in the Center is going to be contingent on everybody's cooperation with the City in order to assume the responsibility on a regional basis. The County is ready to step up and assist in order to provide social services, and North Las Vegas is also going to make a contribution, but he has not heard from the City of Henderson, whom he feels should participate at least for one year.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD noted that the Board of Catholic Charities is in no position to continue these operations, so, if the local governments do not reach a consensus, Catholic Charities will be out of the business of crisis intervention.

There was no further discussion.

(10:29 – 10:35)

1-3147

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on a request to install Speed Humps on Shetland Road between Charleston Boulevard and Palomino Lane (\$13,500 - Neighborhood Traffic Management Program) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$13,500☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Traffic Eng.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Neighborhood Traffic Management Program**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Residents on Shetland Road have requested the installation of speed humps on their street. Traffic counts showed that there were 548 vehicles a day traveling the street. The warrant criteria requires a minimum volume of 800 vehicles per day. The 85th percentile speed was 29 mph. The warrant criteria requires an 85th percentile speed of 35 mph. Shetland Road is a secondary emergency response route.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Denial
Staff: Denial.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE TO 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CHARLIE KAJKOWSKI, Public Works, requested abeyance.

There was no further discussion.

(10:35)

1-3472

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-83-2003 - Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution supporting the development of a Performing Arts Center by the Las Vegas Performing Arts Center Foundation, Inc., approving the Memorandum of Understanding between City Parkway IV and City Parkway V and Las Vegas Performing Arts Center Foundation, Inc., approving certain provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding, and providing for other matters related thereto

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Las Vegas Performing Arts Center Foundation, Inc. ("LVPAC") desires to develop a world class performing arts center on the 61 acre site. This Resolution expresses the City's support for the development of the performing arts center by LVPAC, approves the Memorandum of Understanding between City Parkway IV and City Parkway V and approves the City dealing exclusively with LVPAC for the development of a performing arts center.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve the Resolution and authorize the Mayor to execute the same.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-83-2003

2. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

Submitted at the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint, copy of Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 43, document titled Building The Future Of Our Community, letter addressed to the Mayor from Daniel C. Van Epp, and copy of Tom McGowan's written comments; also, a videotape was shown but not submitted for the record

MOTION:**GOODMAN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD and MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that KEITH BOWMAN is their mothers' cardiologist.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she performs with the Las Vegas Philharmonic.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Resolutions

Item 80 – R-83-2003

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

DOUG SELBY, City Manager

DON SNYDER, Co-Chair, Las Vegas Performing Arts Center Foundation, Inc.

KEITH BOMAN, Co-Chair, Las Vegas Performing Arts Center Foundation, Inc.

MARK LIGHT, Executive Director, Schuster Center, Dayton, Ohio

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

SHARON CHIARAMONTE, appeared on behalf of JUDY STEAL of the Henderson Arts Council

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident

PATRICIA MARTINELLI-PRICE, homeless advocate

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard North

RICHARD GEYER, 8260 Hilton Head Court, Las Vegas Arts District Neighborhood Association

LESLIE FATHERINGHAM, Signature Productions

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street

FRANK PERNA

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN stressed that he would like an attached high-rise component to be considered.

(10:35 – 11:47)

1-3500/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION – Cathie Kelly, Term
Expiration 3/6/2003

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Historic Preservation Commission is comprised of members filling nine different categories. Ms. Kelly represents Category 5, a “Representative of a Recognized Local Historic Preservation Association or Historic Preservation Interest Group” member. Ms. Kelly has served the maximum number of terms and is therefore not eligible for reappointment. At the Council Meeting of April 16, 2003, this item was abeyed to May 21, 2003.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Commission requires appointment by the City Council. Options are:
Appoint a new member to fill Ms. Kelly’s seat, Category 5

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current listing and Authority-Historic Preservation Committee

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE TO 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:47 – 11:48)
2-2602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Zelda Weingard, Term Expiration 11-18-2005 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

I have been advised by the Director of the Department of Leisure Services that Zelda Weingard has resigned. The term of office for Zelda Weingard will expire November 18, 2005. There is no City residency requirement, terms are for three years and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. It will be necessary for a member of City Council to recommend an appointment to fill Ms. Weingard's unexpired term. At the Council Meeting of May 7, 2003, this item was abeyed to May 21, 2003.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to fill Ms. Weingard's unexpired term which expires November 18, 2005.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson, Director of Leisure Services
2. Letter of resignation from Zelda Weingard
3. Current Listing and Authority-Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
4. Board Interest Form – Fred L. Rauf

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE TO 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:48 – 11:49)
2-2654

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

CHILD CARE LICENSING BOARD – Linda Powers, Term Expiration 6-2005 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Child Care Licensing Board consists of five members appointed by the City Council. Currently, Las Vegas Municipal Code (LVMC) 6.24 governing this Board is being reviewed for expansion to seven members. However, at the present time, membership remains at five and appointments are coterminous with the Council member making the appointment. Ms. Powers is the coterminous appointment of Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald and, with her resignation; Councilwoman McDonald is eligible to make this appointment. This member must be a city resident, may not be a licensed operator of a child care facility, and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served.

RECOMMENDATION:

It will be necessary for Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald to appoint a new representative as her coterminous appointment. This member must be a city resident and may not be a licensed operator of a child care facility. The appointee will fill the unexpired term, which ends June 2005.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resignation letter from Linda Powers
2. Current Listing and Authority – Child Care Licensing Board
3. Board Interest Form – Cheryl S. Polk

MOTION:**L.B. McDONALD – ABEYANCE TO 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(11:49)

2-2703

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-41 – Levies Assessment for Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance FY2004) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$38,736

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Levies the assessment for the annual maintenance costs of street beautification improvements along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to approximately 275 feet west of Lacy Lane from July, 2003 through June, 2004.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/21/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 5/5/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/16/2003; First Publication – 5/9/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-41

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5600 – **UNANIMOUS** with L.B. McDONALD not voting

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:49 – 11:51)
2-2745

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-44 – Authorizing the issuance of City of Las Vegas General Obligation (Limited Tax) Redevelopment Project Refunding Bonds, (Additionally Secured with Pledged Revenues) Series 2003A

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net 4.2% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/21/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 5/19/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/7/2003; First Publication – 5/10/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-44

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5601 – **UNANIMOUS** with L.B. McDONALD not voting

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:51 – 11:52)

2-2802

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-45 – Authorizing the issuance of the City of Las Vegas General Obligation Medium-Term Detention Center Refunding Bonds Series 2003B

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net 4.7% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/21/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 5/19/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/7/2003; First Publication – 5/10/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-45

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5602 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:52)
2-2845

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-46 – Annexation No. ANX-1238 – Property location: On the southeast corner of Buffalo Drive and Grand Teton Drive; Petitioned by: Clark County School District; Acreage: 40.10 acres; Zoned: R-A (County zoning), U (PF) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Buffalo Drive and Grand Teton Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 30, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/21/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 5/19/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/7/2003; First Publication – 5/10/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-46 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. **5603** – **UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:52 – 11:53)

2-2883

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-145 – Repeals and replaces LVMC Chapter 6.50, relating to liquor control, and revises related zoning provisions. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director, Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In addition to adding new alcoholic beverage licensing categories for banquet facilities, convention facilities, nonprofit club restaurant service bars, billiard parlors, convenience stores, art galleries, art studios, buses and limousines this bill reorganizes the presentation of the existing alcoholic beverage regulations, including moving related zoning matters from Chapter 6.50 to Title 19 of the City Code. Special use permit regulations for unlicensed locations hosting social events with alcoholic beverage sales are also established.

RECOMMENDATION:

ABEYANCE to the 6/2/2003 Recommending Committee meeting pursuant to the 5/19/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/18/2002; First Publication – N/A

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/2/2003 Recommending Committee

6/4/2003 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-47 – Annexation No. ANX-1603 – Property location: On the northeast corner of Regena Avenue and Riley Street; Petitioned by: Michael Monahan and Michelle Ware; Acreage: 0.75 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Regena Avenue and Riley Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 13, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/4/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 5/19/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/7/2003; First Publication – 5/23/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/4/2003 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-48 – Annexation No. ANX-1752 – Property location: On the north side of Smoke Ranch Road, 450 feet east of Michael Way; Petitioned by: Thomas Fett; Acreage: 1.18 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Smoke Ranch Road, 450 feet east of Michael Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 13, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/4/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 5/19/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/7/2003; First Publication – 5/23/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/4/2003 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-49 – Exempts the buying, selling or trading of used CD's , DVD's, video games, videotapes, cassettes or sound recordings from the secondhand dealer business licensing requirements. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Currently persons buying, selling or trading used CD's, DVD's, video games, videotapes, cassettes or sound recordings are required to have secondhand dealer licenses. This bill will exempt such activity from this licensing requirement.

RECOMMENDATION:

ABEYANCE to the 6/2/2003 Recommending Committee meeting pursuant to the 5/19/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/7/2003; First Publication – N/A

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/2/2003 Recommending Committee

6/4/2003 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-50 – Requires a special use permit for massage establishments in the C-1, C-2, C-PB and C-M Zoning Districts, and allows the use as a conditional use in the M Zoning District. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael J. McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Massage establishments are currently permitted as a matter of right in the C-1, C-2, C-PB, C-M and M Zoning Districts. This bill will give the City greater control over the location and operation of these uses by 1) requiring a special use permit in the C-1, C-2, C-PB and C-M Zoning Districts, 2) allowing the use as a conditional use in the M Zoning District, and 3) establishing certain minimum conditions of approval.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/4/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 5/19/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/7/2003; First Publication – 5/23/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/4/2003 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-51 – Adjusts the on-site parking requirements for barber shops and beauty parlors.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Current on-site parking requirements for barber shops and beauty parlors are based upon the number of chairs or stations. As applied to uses located in shopping centers, the parking requirements exceed what normally is necessary. This bill will adjust the requirement in shopping centers with more than 25,000 square feet, basing the parking requirement on the square footage of the barber shop or beauty parlor.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-51

MOTION:**None required.****MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

6/2/2003 Recommending Committee

6/4/2003 Council Agenda

(11:53 – 11:55)

2-2933

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-52 – Establishes parameters for the keeping of carrier or racing pigeons within the City. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The keeping of pigeons is currently regulated by the City's animal control regulations, and the number of pigeons that can be kept is limited to twenty-four. This bill will allow the keeping of a greater number of pigeons (up to 300) by means of special use permit in the U, R-A, R-E and R-D Zoning Districts if the pigeons qualify as carrier or racing pigeons.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-52

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

6/2/2003 Recommending Committee

6/4/2003 Council Agenda

(11:53 – 11:55)

2-2933

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-53 – Establishes zoning requirements for facilities that provide testing, treatment, or counseling for drug or alcohol abuse, and updates zoning provisions regarding similar and related uses. Sponsored by: Michael J. McDonald

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Court-related substance abuse treatment and counseling facilities have been located, or have the potential to be located, near residential areas. This bill will limit these facilities to the C-2 District (by means of special use permit) and the C-M and M Districts (as a conditional use). The bill will also adjust the treatment of similar and related uses for the sake of consistency.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-53

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

6/2/2003 Recommending Committee

6/4/2003 Council Agenda

(11:53 – 11:55)

2-2933

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-54 – Permits restricted gaming in supper clubs under certain circumstances, and prohibits restricted gaming in the Downtown Entertainment Overlay District. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A previous bill on this subject, Bill No. 2002-143, recently was stricken by the City Council with direction to bring back another bill with certain adjustments. In response, this bill is brought forward to allow supper clubs to have up to five slot machines in the bar area, but only as approved by means of a special use permit. The bill will also prohibit restricted gaming in the Downtown Entertainment Overlay District.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-54

MOTION:**None required.**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he would be abstaining on any future discussions on this bill because his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER, owns Timbers Bar and Grills, which could be impacted by this bill.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

6/2/2003 Recommending Committee

6/4/2003 Council Agenda

(11:53 – 11:55)

2-2933

THE MEETING RECESSED AT 11:55 A.M., RECONVENED AT 12:00 P.M. FOR A MOTION TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION, AND RECESSED AGAIN AT 12:00 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

CLOSED SESSION – To Be Held at Conclusion of Morning Session

Upon a duly carried Motion, a closed meeting is called in accordance with NRS 241.030 to discuss the following items:

- A. City Manager's Annual Performance Review
- B. City Attorney's Annual Performance Review
- C. City Auditor's Annual Performance Review

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Open Meeting law permits the City Council to conduct closed sessions for – among other reasons – discussion and evaluation of the character and professional competence of a person. While all decisions and actual deliberations regarding compensation and employment must be made during the public agenda item which follows the closed session, these private meetings permit candid conversations which might not be appropriate for a public meeting. Minutes of a closed session must be generated in the same fashion as the public portion of the agenda, but the minutes are confidential unless the person who is the subject of the closed session chooses to release them.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to go into closed meeting in accordance with NRS 241.030 to discuss the Annual Performance Reviews of the City Manager, City Attorney, and City Auditor - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC noted that the closed meeting involves performance evaluations only; salaries would have to be discussed during the afternoon meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(12:00)
2-3287

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [ROC-2114], Item 106 [SUP-1408] and Item 107 [SDR-1407] to 6/18/2003 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, announced that staff was requesting that three items be held in abeyance to 6/18/2003 while the County completes a traffic study to ascertain whether various streets in the area should be closed pursuant to the request of some of the neighbors. Area property owners were notified that staff was requesting the abeyance. Although the County has since communicated that there is no opposition to the applications going forward at this meeting, staff continues with the request for abeyance given that notification.

SEAN GRAHAM, 9909 Fox Springs, requested that the items be heard. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that hearing the items would be unfair because of the announcement telling those who would have otherwise attended that the items were going to be postponed. He apologized for the inconvenience to those neighbors who were present, but stressed that he wanted to protect the right of individuals to be heard by the Council. COUNCILMAN REESE concurred that the Mayor and Council always try to be consistent with these situations.

There was no discussion.

(1:35 – 1:38)

3-240

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building/demolition located at 222 W. Philadelphia Ave. **PROPERTY OWNERS:**
BHUPINDER S. BHATTI - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$6,819.50
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Ninyo & Moore to perform an asbestos survey, and Goldie, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by demolishing the structure; removing all trash and debris; and by posting "No Trespassing/Dumping" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$6,819.50 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Neighborhood Services Department

Item 98 – 222 W. Philadelphia Ave

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, made a video presentation and described the property as a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Meadows Village Response Team responded to a complaint from a neighbor and found the house unfit to be occupied. The family living there was relocated and The owner agreed the house required demolition. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. Five contractors bid on the demolition, with the low bid being \$5,280 and the high bid being \$14,432. An asbestos survey was performed for \$650. The property was abated by demolishing the structure. The recommendation is that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$6,819.50 in order that the charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

BHUPINDER BHATTI, 356 Washington Avenue, expressed appreciation for the efforts of the Council in the neighborhood. He concurred with the expenses. MAYOR GOODMAN explained that the lien would be placed against the property, but the owner could satisfy the lien by payment and have it removed.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:38 – 1:41)

3-403

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 231 W. Philadelphia Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: JAMES M. HARGROVE - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$8,244.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Ninyo & Moore was hired to perform an asbestos survey, and K. O. Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by demolishing the structure; removing the wooden fence, outside storage, junk vehicles, trash, debris, and all dead/dry vegetation; and by posting "No Trespassing/Dumping" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$8,244.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and claim of Lien
8. Submitted after final agenda – Letter from J. Newell
9. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Neighborhood Services Department

Item 99 – 231 W. Philadelphia Ave.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, made a video presentation and described the property and vacant house as a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. The property owner cleaned and secured the property, but it was broken into again. The complaints regarding this property date back to 1995. The vagrants left the house unstable. The owner agreed to demolition. K.O. Construction was hired to abate the problem by demolishing the structure, removing the wooden fence, outside storage, junk vehicles, trash, debris and all dead/dry vegetation and by posting no trespassing signs on the property. The recommendation is that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$8,244.35 in order that the charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:41 – 1:43)

3-507

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 1401 E. Oakey Blvd. **PROPERTY OWNER:** MOLLY SCHIMEL - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$1,935.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired C & W Enterprises, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing all openings, removing all junk, refuse, waste and miscellaneous items from the yards, driveway and carport, cutting all high vegetation; and by removing two junk vehicles from the driveway.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,935.00 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing and Abeyance Notification
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 100 – 1401 E. Oakey Blvd

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, outlined the 160 complaints, 10 correction notices, 9 legal notices and 76 site visits by inspectors involving this vacant property since 1990. The property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The City hired C&W Enterprises Inc. to abate the problem by boarding and securing all openings, removing all junk, refuse, waste and miscellaneous items. The recommendation is that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,935 in order that the charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:43 – 1:45)

3-568

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 3518 Navajo Way. **PROPERTY OWNER:** SECRETARY HOUSING & URBAN DEV., C/O FIRST PRESTON FORECLOSURE - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$2,075.75
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, K. O. Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing the vacant house; removing the hydraulic vehicle jack, all sheds, trash, debris, barrels, buckets, broken glass, leaves/brush, and high vegetation; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,075.75 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video was shown but not submitted

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003

Neighborhood Services Department

Item 101 – 3518 Navajo Way

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, made a video presentation and described the vacant property with an open building as a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the City hired K. O. Construction, Inc., to abate the problem by boarding and securing the vacant house, removing the hydraulic vehicle jack, all sheds, trash, debris, barrels, buckets, broken glass, leaves/brush, and high vegetation and by posting no trespassing signs on the property. The recommendation is that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,075.75 in order that the charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, urged the City to address a loophole by establishing how long a building may be boarded. Boarded buildings diminish the neighborhood. CITY MANAGER SELBY responded that legal counsel will have to be sought as to what options may even be available. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT added that there is no time limit in law. The only option is working with the property owner. MAYOR GOODMAN directed staff to research options used in other jurisdictions. This is similar to the broken window theory, which reverberates throughout a neighborhood. MR. FARLOW pointed out that he lived with one boarded building for 16 years and now there is a second boarded home. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT assured MAYOR GOODMAN that he would report back to the Council.

AL GALLEGGO, citizen of Las Vegas, advised that in other cities the neighbors and city take the property owners to small claims court, using the judgment to demolish the house.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:45 – 1:48)

3-626

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Request to Rescind a Previous City Council action on the renewal of the Ten-Day Notice and Order to Abate Dangerous Building/Demolition because of owner's failure to clean property located at 3340 N. Rainbow Blvd., as promised in his appeal presented at the December 4, 2002 City Council meeting. PROPERTY OWNER: MATRIX CONSTRUCTION CONSULTING, INC.; EDWARD LOVETT, PRESIDENT, MATRIX CONSTRUCTION CONSULTING, INC.
- Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This item previously came before City Council on April 16, 2003 and was approved. The owner did not receive proper notice to appear before City Council.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council rescind the renewal of the Ten-Day Notice and Order to Abate Dangerous Building/Demolition approved April 16, 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED the rescission of the 4/16/2003 Council action – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and WEEKLY not voting

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he has recently entered into a business relationship with his brother, STEVEN MACK, who owns a SuperPawn nearby. The SuperPawn should not be impacted by either Item 102 or Item 103, he has not discussed either item with his brother and he would be voting on both items.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 102 – 3340 N. Rainbow Blvd

MINUTES:

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager, Neighborhood Response, explained that the recommendation to the City Council is rescission of the previously approved Notice of Order and Abatement approved 4/16/2003. There was a miscommunication resulting in the property owner not being adequately notified of that hearing.

EDWARD LOVETT, Matrix Construction Consulting, was present.

There was no further discussion.

(1:48 – 1:50)
3-742

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Hearing to renew the Ten-Day Notice and Order to Abate Dangerous Building/Demolition because of owner's failure to clean property located at 3340 N. Rainbow Blvd., as promised in his appeal presented at the December 4, 2002 City Council meeting. **PROPERTY OWNER:** MATRIX CONSTRUCTION CONSULTING, INC.; EDWARD LOVETT, PRESIDENT, MATRIX CONSTRUCTION CONSULTING, INC. - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/> Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/> Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The subject property is a public hazard and attractive nuisance with unsafe and/or illegal buildings and structures; and litter, trash and debris. When the owner appeared at the City Council meeting, he promised to have the property cleaned and developed. However, as of this date, there are still half demolished buildings on the property, and piles of debris that have not been removed, as promised to the Mayor and City Council. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the Ten-Day Notice and Order to Abate Dangerous Building/Demolition; and to remove the litter, trash and debris.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Correspondence regarding hearing notification
4. Notice of Appeal
5. Notice and Order to Abate Dangerous Building
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Video was shown but not submitted

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED renewal of the Ten-Day Notice and Order to Abate Dangerous Building/Demolition as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with L.B. McDONALD excused and **WEEKLY** not voting

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 103 – 3340 N. Rainbow Blvd

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he has recently entered into a business relationship with his brother, STEVEN MACK, who owns a SuperPawn nearby. The SuperPawn should not be impacted by either Item 102 or Item 103, he has not discussed either item with his brother and he would be voting on both items.

MINUTES:

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager, Neighborhood Response, made a video presentation of the property as of 5/20/2003. The storage facility remains on the property. Given the time lapse since the original notice and order approved at the 12/4/2002 hearing. It appears that the property has been fenced and is being cleaned. Staff would like a record as to the property owner's intent for the property, especially with regard to the two remaining buildings, the illegal trailer and the vegetation.

EDWARD LOVETT responded that all the debris has been removed as of this day. As for a comment that he was moving slowly, no timetable was imposed during the 12/4/2002 hearing. Approximately 14 – 30 yard dumpsters of debris had been removed from the property as of being notified of this hearing. Since the recent notification, he hired a contractor and the property is cleaned. He alleged an issue with the City condemning the horse barns and evicting them from the property. There is no intention to demolish the building. The property has been sold and the new owner intends to seek rezoning for an office building. The trailer will be moved tomorrow upon obtaining the necessary permit.

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation for MR. LOVETT's efforts in correcting the eyesore. MR. LOVETT questioned the meaning of the motion and MAYOR GOODMAN assured him that he was all right.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared that this was not a public hearing.

(1:50 – 1:54)

3-803

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

NO ITEMS

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- 104** SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW
SDR-2034 - LB LVTC II, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Triad Development, Limited Liability Company
- 105** REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING
ABEYANCE ITEM - ROC-2114 - Desert Lincoln Mercury
- 106** SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ROC-2114 - PUBLIC HEARING
ABEYANCE ITEM - SUP-1408 - Desert Lincoln Mercury
- 107** SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ROC-2114 AND SUP-1408 - PUBLIC HEARING
ABEYANCE ITEM - SDR-1407 - Desert Lincoln Mercury
- 108** REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING
ROC-2014 - Concordia Homes
- 109** **ROC-2137** - Nevada Power Company
- 110** MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
MSP-2032 - Mountain View Professional Park, Limited Liability Company
- 111** VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
VAC-1939 - Beazer Homes Holdings Corporation
- 112** **VAC-1981** - KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- 113** **VAC-1965** - Coronopark, Limited Liability Company
- 114** **VAC-2017** - City of Las Vegas
- 115** VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
VAR-1952 - Dr. Samuel I. Kim

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of May 21, 2003

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-1952 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 116 **SDR-1951** - Dr. Samuel I. Kim
- REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 117 **RQR-1993** - City Parkway IV A, Inc. on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising Company
- 118 **RQR-1974** - Union Pacific Railroad Company, et al on behalf of Clear Channel Outdoor and Viacom Outdoor Advertising
- REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 119 **RQR-1994** - Rancho Decatur, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Lamar Advertising
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 120 **SUP-1948** - City of Las Vegas
- 121 **SUP-1950** - Mer-Car Corporation on behalf of Bou Olsteen
- 122 **SUP-1982** - Howard Hughes Corporation on behalf of the F.M. and Nancy Corrigan Trust
- 123 **SUP-2008** - Transit Systems Development, Limited Liability Company, et al
- 124 **SUP-2036** - Vivinian O'Hare on behalf of Focus Property Group
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2036 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 125 **SDR-2035** - Vivinian O'Hare on behalf of Focus Property Group
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 126 **ZON-1855** - James Pinjuv, et al
- 127 **ZON-1936** - Southwest Desert Equities, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of Greystone Nevada, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1936 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 128 **SDR-1937** - Southwest Desert Equities, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of Greystone Nevada, Limited Liability Company
- VARIANCE RELATED TO ZON-1936 AND SDR-1937 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 129 **VAR-2196** - Southwest Desert Equities, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of Greystone Nevada, Limited Liability Company

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of May 21, 2003

- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 130 **ZON-1957** - City of Las Vegas
- 131 **ZON-2038** - Jacob, Limited Partnership on behalf of Green, Herrington & Howell, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2038 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 132 **SDR-2039** - Jacob, Limited Partnership on behalf of Green, Herrington & Howell, Limited Liability Company
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 133 **GPA-1983** - City of Las Vegas
- 134 **GPA-2249** - City of Las Vegas
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 135 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-1016** - Roger Foster
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1016 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 136 **ABEYANCE ITEM - ZON-1017** - Roger Foster
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 137 **GPA-1498** - James R. Davidson, et al
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1498 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 138 **ZON-2023** - James R. Davidson, et al
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-1498 AND ZON-2023 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 139 **SUP-2033** - James R. Davidson, et al
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1498, ZON-2023 AND SUP-2033 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 140 **SDR-2031** - James R. Davidson, et al
- VACATION RELATED TO GPA-1498, ZON-2023, SUP-2033 AND SDR-2031 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 141 **VAC-2027** - Marie Ongsiaco
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 142 **GPA-1969** - RBJ Separate Property Trust, et al on behalf of Heller Development Company

- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1969 - PUBLIC HEARING
143 ZON-1970 - RBJ Separate Property Trust, et al on behalf of Heller Development Company
- VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-1969 AND ZON-1970 - PUBLIC HEARING
144 VAR-1972 - RBJ Separate Property Trust, et al on behalf of Heller Development Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1969, ZON-1970 AND VAR-1972 - PUBLIC HEARING
145 SDR-1971 - RBJ Separate Property Trust, et al on behalf of Heller Development Company
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
146 GPA-1989 - DFA, Limited Liability Company
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1989 - PUBLIC HEARING
147 ZON-1992 - DFA, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1989 AND ZON-1992 - PUBLIC HEARING
148 SDR-1991 - DFA, Limited Liability Company
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
149 GPA-1996 - Fortune N. Lamb, et al on behalf of George Gekakis, Inc.
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1996 - PUBLIC HEARING
150 ZON-1997 - Fortune N. Lamb, et al on behalf of George Gekakis, Inc.
- VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-1996 AND ZON-1997 - PUBLIC HEARING
151 VAR-2002 - Fortune N. Lamb, et al on behalf of George Gekakis, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1996, ZON-1997 AND VAR-2002 - PUBLIC HEARING
152 SDR-1999 - Fortune N. Lamb, et al on behalf of George Gekakis, Inc.
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
153 GPA-2003 - Anchor Capital Gains, Limited Liability Company

- 154** VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-2003 - PUBLIC HEARING
VAR-2005 - Anchor Capital Gains, Limited Liability Company
- 155** SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2003 AND VAR-2005 - PUBLIC HEARING
SDR-2004 - Anchor Capital Gains, Limited Liability Company
- 156** GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
GPA-2022 - Black Mountain Boulder, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of U.S. Home Corporation
- 157** REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2022 - PUBLIC HEARING
ZON-2025 - Black Mountain Boulder, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of U.S. Home Corporation
- 158** VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-2022 AND ZON-2025 - PUBLIC HEARING
VAR-2186 - Black Mountain Boulder, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of U.S. Home Corporation
- 159** SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2022, ZON-2025 AND VAR-2186 - PUBLIC HEARING
SDR-2026 - Black Mountain Boulder, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of U.S. Home Corporation

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - SDR-2034 - LB LVTC II, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF TRIAD DEVELOPMENT, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the Amount of Perimeter Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 84,500 SQUARE FOOT, 8 BUILDING OFFICE PARK adjacent to the northwest corner of Smoke Ranch Road and US 95 (APN: 138-15-810-017), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a partnership in another parcel with one of individuals involved with Triad Development and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

DOUGLAS CROOK, 2552 North Buffalo Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with all conditions.

COUNCILMAN BROWN outlined an issue with the project entrance and the potential signalization of the area in the future. BART ANDERSON, Public Works, replied that a technical analysis had been done to make sure of the suitability of a signal at Rock Springs given various sight visibilities and the overpass. Although the location could support a traffic signal, staff doubts that traffic volume is sufficient to warrant one. There are mitigations that have been anticipated. A left-turn exit will be permitted until such time as warrants or an accident history justify signalization or terminating exiting to the left. COUNCILMAN BROWN stressed that the traffic volume will increase with the US95 expansion and urged staff to closely monitor the situation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – SDR-2034

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN commented that there have been wonderful successes with this developer and development planned is the same quality and caliber of those past projects.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(1:54 – 1:57)

3-943

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. The site plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for building permit, to reflect the provision of four (4) loading zones situated throughout the site. The loading zones shall conform to section 19.10.020 of the zoning code.
4. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with the Planning and Development Department to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners, and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. All trash enclosures shall contain a roof. The trash enclosures shall conform to section 19.08.045 of the zoning code.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – SDR-2034

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
9. All proposed signage for Mountain View Professional Park Phase II shall match the signage types and sizes as submitted in MSP-2032 for Mountain View Professional Park Phase I.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Cathedral Rock Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system at Rock Springs Drive and Smoke Ranch Road concurrent with development of this site.
14. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
15. Sewer service for this site shall be shown in accordance with one of the following three alternatives:
 - I Onsite sewers, 8-inches in diameter or larger, are public sewers within 20 foot wide dedicated public sewer easements.
 - II Onsite sewers are a common element privately owned and maintained per the Conditions, Covenants, and Restrictions (CC&Rs) of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – SDR-2034

CONDITIONS – Continued:

- III. Onsite sewers are a common element privately owned and maintained per a Joint Use Agreement if this is mapped as a commercial subdivision.
- 16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access including the possible relocation of the proposed western most driveway out to Smoke Ranch Road, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
- 17. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **ROC-2114 - DESERT LINCOLN MERCURY** - Request for a Review of Condition Number 10 of an approved Rezoning (Z-0048-87) which prohibited body or fender work at 5700 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-01-405-001, 002 and 003), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking) and C-2 (General Commercial), U (Undeveloped) Zone [GC (General Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking) and C-2 (General Commercial) Zones, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after Final Agenda – Revised Notice

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [ROC-2114], Item 106 [SUP-1408] and Item 107 [SDR-1407] to 6/18/2003 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, announced that staff was requesting that three items be held in abeyance to 6/18/2003 while the County completes a traffic study to ascertain whether various streets in the area should be closed pursuant to the request of some of the neighbors. Area property owners were notified that staff was requesting the abeyance. Although the County has since communicated that there is no opposition to the applications going forward at this meeting, staff continues with the request for abeyance given that notification.

SEAN GRAHAM, 9909 Fox Springs, requested that the items be heard. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that hearing the items would be unfair because of the announcement telling those who would have otherwise attended that the items were going to be postponed. He apologized for the inconvenience to those neighbors who were present, but stressed that he wanted to protect the right of individuals to be heard by the Council. COUNCILMAN REESE concurred that the Mayor and Council always try to be consistent with these situations.

There was no discussion.

(1:35 – 1:38)

3-240

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ROC-2114 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-1408 - DESERT LINCOLN MERCURY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN AUTO PAINT & BODY SHOP IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING NEW CAR DEALERSHIP (DESERT LINCOLN MERCURY) at 5700 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-01-405-001, 002 and 003), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking) and C-2 (General Commercial), U (Undeveloped) Zone [GC (General Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking) and C-2 (General Commercial) Zones, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
4. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [ROC-2114], Item 106 [SUP-1408] and Item 107 [SDR-1407] to 6/18/2003 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, announced that staff was requesting that three items be held in abeyance to 6/18/2003 while the County completes a traffic study to ascertain whether various streets in the area should be closed pursuant to the request of some of the neighbors. Area property owners were notified that staff was requesting the abeyance. Although the County has since communicated that there is no opposition to the applications going forward at this meeting, staff continues with the request for abeyance given that notification.

SEAN GRAHAM, 9909 Fox Springs, requested that the items be heard. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that hearing the items would be unfair because of the announcement telling those who would have otherwise attended that the items were going to be postponed. He apologized for the inconvenience to those neighbors who were present, but stressed that he wanted to protect the right of individuals to be heard by the Council. COUNCILMAN REESE concurred that the Mayor and Council always try to be consistent with these situations.

There was no discussion.

(1:35 – 1:38)

3-240

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ROC-2114 AND SUP-1408 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1407 - DESERT LINCOLN MERCURY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR AN AUTO PAINT AND BODY SHOP IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING NEW CAR DEALERSHIP (DESERT LINCOLN MERCURY); A REDUCTION OF THE PERIMETER LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS; A WAIVER OF THE PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE FINGERS; A WAIVER OF THE REQUIRED LANDSCAPE PLANTER BETWEEN THE BUILDING AND THE PARKING AREA, AND A WAIVER OF THE PEDESTRIAN OPEN SPACE AND PLAZA REQUIREMENT on 7.75 acres at 5700 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-01-405-001, 002 and 003), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking) and C-2 (General Commercial), U (Undeveloped) Zone [GC (General Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking), C-2 (General Commercial) and G-2 (General Commercial) Zones, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 105 [ROC-2114], Item 106 [SUP-1408] and Item 107 [SDR-1407] to 6/18/2003 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, announced that staff was requesting that three items be held in abeyance to 6/18/2003 while the County completes a traffic study to ascertain whether various streets in the area should be closed pursuant to the request of some of the neighbors. Area property owners were notified that staff was requesting the abeyance. Although the County has since communicated that there is no opposition to the applications going forward at this meeting, staff continues with the request for abeyance given that notification.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 107 –SDR-1407

MINUTES – Continued:

SEAN GRAHAM, 9909 Fox Springs, requested that the items be heard. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that hearing the items would be unfair because of the announcement telling those who would have otherwise attended that the items were going to be postponed. He apologized for the inconvenience to those neighbors who were present, but stressed that he wanted to protect the right of individuals to be heard by the Council. COUNCILMAN REESE concurred that the Mayor and Council always try to be consistent with these situations.

There was no discussion.

(1:35 – 1:38)
3-240

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **ROC-2014 - CONCORDIA HOMES**
- Request for a Review of Condition Number 3 OF AN APPROVED SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW [Z-0069-02(1)] TO ALLOW A THREE FOOT SIDE SETBACK WHERE A FIVE FOOT SIDE SETBACK WAS APPROVED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A 142 LOT SINGLE FAMILY SUBDIVISION on 20.27 acres adjacent to the northwest and southeast corners of Deer Springs Way and Campbell Road (APN: 125-20-201-011 and 012; 125-20-301-006 and 007), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRENT WILSON, VTN-Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:57 – 1:58)

3-1042

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 108 – ROC-2014

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Condition of Approval Number 3 for Z-0069-02(1) shall be amended as follows: the side yard setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 5 feet, except that a 3 foot side setback is allowed provided a minimum separation of 10 feet is maintained between all single family structures. Further, no pop outs or architectural features shall encroach into the 3 foot setback.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **ROC-2137 - NEVADA POWER COMPANY** - Request for a Review of Condition #1 of an approved One Year Review [Z-0095-91(4)] which stated that the deferment of landscaping shall be allowed until April 1, 2004. In the interim, 3-inch decomposed granite mulch and 36-inch minimum diameter boulders shall be installed along Iron Mountain Road frontage no later than July 1, 2003 on property located adjacent to the northwest corner of Iron Mountain Road and Decatur Boulevard (A PORTION OF APN: 125-01-701-001), C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**MACK – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Conditions 2 and 4 to read:

2. *The deferment of the required landscaping along the east, north and west sides of the site shall be subject to a Three-Year Review from the date of final approval, at which time the City Council may require full landscape improvements be installed.*
4. *If required, the landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, within nine months of this approval, to reflect a 25-foot wide equestrian trail along the north side of Iron Mountain Road. This trail shall include 5-feet of Iron Mountain Road right-of-way and 20-feet of the subject parcel. The trail shall conform to the requirements set forth in the Recreation Trails Element of the General Plan. Construction of the trail shall commence within nine months of receipt, by the applicant, of the grant from the Bureau of Land Management allowing the installation of infrastructure.*

– UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused and M. McDONALD not voting

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE disclosed that his daughter TERRI works for Nevada Power Company, but he would vote on the application since there has been no discussion regarding the item and this action would have no impact on either her or himself.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 109 – ROC-2137

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MICHELLE BALTZ, Nevada Power Company, 6226 West Sahara Avenue, explained that the deferment of landscaping on the north, east and west sides of the site would be subject to a three-year review and is justified by the lack of growth in the surrounding community. The south side is bordered by Bureau of Land Management property under a grant for the substation. The grant does not include the road or paving of the road. An application has been submitted through the City to obtain the right to do the roadway offsites and landscape development. The application process could take time and the applicant is seeking a nine-month extension upon approval of that grant to do the improvements, which would be done as permanent improvements rather than temporary improvements.

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation for the cooperation of Nevada Power in changing the conditions. He recommended that Conditions 2 and 4 be amended and confirmed with MS. BALTZ that the amendments as read were agreeable.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:58 – 2:02)

3-1090

CONDITIONS:

1. Delete Condition #1 of Z-0095-91(4) Review of Condition 3-30-02.
2. This use shall be subject to a Three-Year Review from the date of final approval, at which time the City Council may require the full landscape improvements to be installed.
3. Site Development shall comply to the Conditions of Approval for Zoning Application (Z-0095-91), Site Development Plan Review [Z-0095-91(1)], and all subsequent site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, within one month of this approval, to reflect a 25-foot wide equestrian trail along the north side of Iron Mountain Road. This trail shall include 5-feet of the Iron Mountain Road right-of-way and 20-feet of the subject parcel. The trail shall conform to the requirements set forth in the Recreation Trails Element of the General Plan.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - MSP-2032 - MOUNTAIN VIEW PROFESSIONAL PARK, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR AN APPROVED 69,000 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE DEVELOPMENT on 7.50 acres adjacent to the north side of Smoke Ranch Road, approximately 730 feet east of Tenaya Way (APN: 138-15-810-016), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS GOODMAN abstaining due to a partnership in another parcel with one of individuals involved with Triad Development, L.B. McDONALD excused and M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open and declared his abstention.

DOUGLAS CROOK, 2552 North Buffalo Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with all conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, to make sure that the backup includes the statement of financial interest so that the elected officials can determine whether a conflict of interest exists.

(2:02 – 2:04)

3-1228

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 110 – MSP-2032

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Site Development Plan Review [Z-0068-85(61)] and all other subsequent site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department.
2. The signage standards set forth by this Master Sign Plan shall apply to both Mountain View Professional Park – Phase I and Phase II.
3. The colors and materials for the monument sign shall be compatible with the building on the site.
4. Conformance to the sign and building elevations as submitted, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.
6. Illumination of signs shall comply with Title 19.14.070 Residential Protection Standards of the Zoning Code.
7. No temporary signage shall be allowed on the site without the approval of a Temporary Sign Permit from the Planning and Development Department.

Public Works

8. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Site Development Plan Review Z-68-85(34) and all other subsequent site-related actions.
9. Signs shall not be located within existing or proposed public sewer or drainage easements, or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-1939 - BEAZER HOMES HOLDINGS CORPORATION - Petition to vacate U.S. Government Patent Reservations and Right-of-Way generally located adjacent to the southeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Hualapai Way, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRENT WILSON, VTN-Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:04 – 2:05)

3-1311

CONDITIONS:

1. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest and Order of Vacation shall record prior to the recordation of any Final Maps adjacent to or overlying the subject right-of-way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 111 – VAC-1939

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Relinquishment of Interest or Order of Vacation.
3. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Relinquishment of Interest or Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
5. The Order of Vacation and Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that modifications to public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest or Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is granted by the Director of the Planning and Development Department, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-1981 - KB HOME NEVADA, INC. - Petition to vacate public easements generally located south of Alexander Road, east of Maverick Street, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of KB Homes and concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:95 – 2:06)

3-1344

CONDITIONS:

1. A sanitary sewer relocation/abandonment plan must be submitted and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation. Appropriate public sewer easements shall be provided or retained for all public sewers not located within existing public right-of-way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 112 – VAC-1981

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that modifications to public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-1965 - CORONOPARK, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Petition to vacate a portion of Donald Nelson Avenue, generally located west of Grand Canyon Drive, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Protest letter from Larry Lermusiaux

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRENT WILSON, VTN-Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:06 – 2:07)

3-1380

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 113 – VAC-1965

CONDITIONS:

1. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The Drainage Study required by SDR-1702 may be used to satisfy this condition.
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that modifications to public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-2017 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS - Petition of Vacation to vacate a public alley, generally located between Bonneville Avenue and Garces Avenue, approximately 180 feet west of Fourth Street, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 71

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because he owns property within the notification area at Casino Center and Bonneville Avenue and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT advised MAYOR GOODMAN that he should abstain from voting on this item because he owns property within the notification area at Casino Center and Bonneville Avenue.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open and declared his abstention.

MICHAEL VLAOVICH, Public Works, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:07 – 2:08)

3-1416

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – VAC-2017

CONDITIONS:

1. A sanitary sewer relocation/abandonment plan must be submitted and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation; alternatively appropriate public sewer easements shall be retained.
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study.
3. This vacation may record in phases. The northernmost portion of the existing alley shall remain public to service Assessor's Parcel Numbers 139-34-301-108 to -110 unless such parcels are acquired by the City prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation. Appropriate public access easements as determined by the Department of Public Works shall be provided to maintain ingress and egress to the public portion of the alley at each phase of vacation.
4. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
5. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
6. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
7. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that modifications to public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – VAC-2017

CONDITIONS - Continued:

8. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.
9. If the alley curb cut is not to be used for vehicular access the adjacent property owner shall replace the curb cut with curb, gutter, and sidewalk.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-1952 - DR. SAMUEL I. KIM** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A REAR SETBACK OF 40 FEET WHERE THE RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY STANDARDS REQUIRE A 96 FOOT SETBACK IN CONJUNCTION WITH A 32-FOOT TALL OFFICE BUILDING adjacent to the west side of Crystal Water Way approximately 323 feet south of Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-08-120-018), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY disclosed that KELLY BENAVIDEZ is his liaison and confirmed with DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT that he was not required to abstain because that relationship would not impact his vote.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROY BURSON, JVC Associates, Inc., 4290 Cameron Street, Suite #2, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – VAR-1952

MINUTES - Continued:

KELLY BENAVIDEZ, 8800 River Pines Court, stated that the applicant agreed at the Planning Commission meeting to install 24-inch box trees on the neighbors' side of the building. The neighbors support the project and request that the trees be required, prior to construction, to act as a buffer to the noise and dirt for the seniors who are at home during the day. MR. BURSON agreed to do so.

COUNCILMAN REESE confirmed with staff that the new condition should be added to Item 116, the related site development plan review.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 115 [VAR-1952] and Item 116 [SDR-1951] was held under Item 115 [VAR-1952].

(2:08 – 2:11)

3-1459

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-1952 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1951 - DR. SAMUEL I. KIM** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 4,889 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING AND A REDUCTION N THE AMOUNT OF PERIMETER LANDSCAPING adjacent to the west side of Crystal Water Way approximately 323 feet south of Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-08-120-018), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and an added condition that 24 inch box trees be installed on the neighbors' side of the adjoining properties by the developer prior to commencing construction - **UNANIMOUS** with L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROY BURSON, JVC Associates, Inc., 4290 Cameron Street, Suite #2, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SDR-1951

MINUTES - Continued:

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 115 [VAR-1952] and Item 116 [SDR-1951] was held under Item 115 [VAR-1952].

(2:08 – 2:11)

3-1459

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. The landscaping planter along the south property line shall be 5' wide and contain 24" box Mondale Pines planted at 20 feet on center.
4. The balcony on the south side of the building, accessed from the second floor shall be eliminated. A new window with obscure glass or glass blocks shall be installed in the location of the balcony.
5. The second story windows on the south side of the building shall be obscure glass or glass block. This specifically includes the horizontal slot windows shown on the architectural elevations.
6. The applicant shall meet with the Baycliff Creek HOA to determine the type of 24" box trees to be planted south of the existing block wall. The trees are to be staggered between the Mondale pines. The applicant shall submit an agreement from the Baycliff HOA and a planting plan prior to the issuance of any building permits.
7. Variance (VAR-1952) shall be approved by the City Council. Otherwise, the site plan shall be revised to adhere to the residential adjacency standards.
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SDR-1951

CONDITIONS - Continued:

10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal 6.Code Section 19.12.050.
12. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

15. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
17. An addendum to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Lakes Business Center (Commercial Subdivision), Zoning Reclassification Z-13-86 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **RQR-1993 - CITY PARKWAY IV A, INC. ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Required One Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit [U-0238-94(4)] WHICH ALLOWED A 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN adjacent to the south side of Oran K. Gragson Highway (U.S. 95) between "F" Street and Main Street (APN: 139-27-401-031), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.**

1
0

City Council Meeting**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.**

0
0

City Council Meeting**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Letter from V. Holley

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a financial relationship with Show Media and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NAFTGZER, LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, indicated that all billboards should be required to be made as nice as those in the Chelsea Outlet project.

MAYOR GOODMAN advised that during a briefing he had directed DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT that any placement of a billboard on desirable City property should be subject to a request for proposal to avoid the acquisition of sweetheart deals. COUNCILMAN MACK added that cell towers should also be included in that direction.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 117 – RQR-1993

MINUTES - Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:11 – 2:14)

3-1577

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Use shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. The existing off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall be reviewed as part of any Site Development Plan Review application for that parcel it is located on. Conditions may be added for the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign to be removed or redesigned.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All of the supporting structure, where there is graffiti, shall be repainted as required by the Planning and Development Department, within 30 days of final approval by City Council. Failure to perform the required painting may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
5. Only one advertising sign is permitted per sign face.
6. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
7. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **RQR-1974 - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR AND VIACOM OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Required One Year Review FOR 12 EXISTING OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGNS on property bounded by U.S.-95, I-15 and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-610-004, 139-33-710-001, 139-33-511-003, 004, and 139-27-410-002 and 005), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions, amending Condition 1 to *allow a five-year review only as to the three new signs adjacent to Chelsea and one-year review on all the others* and Condition 6 to read as follows:

6. *Allow the two existing signs to continue with two advertising signs per face for a period of one-year and then modify to only one advertising sign per sign face.*
– **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a financial relationship with Show Media and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RYAN ARNOLD, 3225-B South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of Viacom, and MISTY BAIER, Clear Channel Outdoor, 1211 W. Bonanza Road. MS. BAIER concurred with the conditions, but requested that Condition 6 be removed as the existing sign has two sign faces as poster panels. As an alternative she requested a time period in which to relocate the existing advertisers on those panels.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 118 – RQR-1974

MINUTES - Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, repeated his comments regarding the quality of the Chelsea billboards versus the other billboards around town. There is sufficient revenue to enhance them all.

MS. BAIER requested that the Chelsea signs be separated from the one-year reviews imposed on this application since they are now part of an approved master sign plan. The Chelsea signs cost approximately \$93,000 for each of the three signs.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY discussed with ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, changing the timeline under Condition 1 given development by World Market Center in the area. MR. GENZER outlined a proposal that the billboards be modernized as development occurs, similar to that of the Chelsea signs. That was the purpose behind the one-year review. Staff is trying to be consistent citywide in eliminating the double signs on one face, but establishing a timeline would not be something staff would object to. MS. BAIER requested a one-year period to do so. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred that it would benefit the City to establish a time frame.

MS. BAIER pointed out that Union Pacific Railroad has maintained an easement on the World Market Center property, unlike the Chelsea site. In addition, a longer lease was established to recoup the increased cost of the Chelsea signs. The remaining signs will not be effected by the World Market Center, other than possibly some landscaping. There would be no way to recoup the cost of similarly enhancing these signs. MAYOR GOODMAN stressed that the City will be encouraging the industry to use the Chelsea signs as the standard. The intent is not to have the beauty and uniqueness of the architectural product detracted from by billboards.

MR. GENZER clarified with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that staff would not oppose increasing the review period to even ten years for the three new Chelsea signs that do set the new standard. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY expressed his disappointment with the signs being graffitied. MS. BAIER indicated that there has been a request for increased security by the developer of Chelsea. There is a real issue with graffiti on all the City boards.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:14 – 2:24)

3-1690

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 118 – RQR-1974

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Use shall be reviewed in one year at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If a Site Development Plan Review for new development includes property in which an off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is located, the billboard(s) shall be reviewed for compatibility with the proposed development. Conditions of approval may be imposed that require the removal or redesign of some or all of the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. The property owner shall keep the property properly maintained and graffiti-free at all times. Failure to perform required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising sign.
5. All of the supporting structure, where there is graffiti, shall be repainted as required by the Planning and Development Department, within 30 days of final approval by City Council. Failure to perform the required painting may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
6. Only one advertising sign is permitted per sign face.
7. If an existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, the Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **RQR-1994 - RANCHO DECATUR, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LAMAR ADVERTISING** - Required Two Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit (U-0059-01) FOR FIVE (5) 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGNS adjacent to the northeast corner of Smoke Ranch Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 139-18-410-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-M (Commercial/ Industrial), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of a financial relationship with Show Media and GOODMAN and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NAFTZGER, Lamar Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, questioned development of the property and whether the signs would remain given that development. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY clarified that discussion was that the signs would remain until development occurred.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – RQR-1994

MINUTES - Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:24 – 2:25)

3-2109

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one (1) year at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed
2. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall submit to the Planning and Development Department a site plan that depicts the location of all existing off-premise advertising (billboard) signs with an overlay of the developments approved as part of SDR-1404. The Planning and Development Department will review the site plan to ensure compatibility. If conflicts exist, the Planning and Development Department may impose adequate measures to ensure the billboards do not compromise public health, safety, and welfare.
3. If a Site Development Plan Review for new development includes property in which an off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is located, the billboard(s) shall be reviewed as part of the site development plan review for compatibility with the proposed development. Conditions of approval may be imposed that require the removal of some or all of the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs.
4. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
5. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
6. The property owner shall keep the property properly maintained and graffiti-free at all times. Failure to perform required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising sign

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – RQR-1994

CONDITIONS - Continued:

7. Only one advertising sign is permitted per sign face.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - 2 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-1948 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED TAVERN IN THE DURANGO HILLS GOLF COURSE CLUBHOUSE LOCATED WITHIN THE CHEYENNE/DURANGO PARK AND A WAIVER OF THE 1,500 FOOT DISTANCE SEPARATION REQUIREMENT BETWEEN TAVERNS at 3501 North Durango Drive (APN: 138-08-701-013), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PF (Public Facilities) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****2****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****1****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letters of Protest from Pamela & Paul Kruse, and Alex Paske
5. Submitted after final agenda: Letter of Approval from Eva Hatfield
6. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 61

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN disclosed that one of the Council liaisons from his office lives within the notification radius, but that would not impact his objectivity as to vote or comment.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Public Works, appeared on behalf of the City. This would permit beer, wine and liquor from the beer cart on the path. It is not a 24-hour operation and should have no impact on the surrounding area. There has been no negative response on the request.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – SUP-1948

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN concurred with the comments made by MR. ROARK. There were some responses to the public hearing notice, but it was the misunderstanding of the word tavern. Once clarified that this will not be another stand-alone facility, the concerns were addressed.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:25 – 2:27)

3-2177

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for Liquor Establishment (Tavern) use.
4. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0022-97) and Site Development Plan Reviews [Z-0022-97(1)] and [Z-0022-97(2)].
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
6. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-1950 - MER-CAR CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF BOU OSTEEN - Appeal filed by Bou Osteen from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, SPECIFIED (CHECK CASHING) at 1401 East Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-35-401-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Bou Osteen
5. Submitted after final agenda: Letter of Protest from Himidian Nerces Sarkis Living Trust

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 6/4/2003 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the SuperPawns owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, with whom he has recently entered into a business relationship, none of those businesses include check-cashing services and, as no conflict exists, he will be voting on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DG ELEGADO, 9772 Pima Point Avenue, appeared on behalf of his sister, the applicant, and explained that they selected this site because it is the center of their community, where they speak the same language. He could not understand the way in which the City's calculates business proliferation. His sister needs some type of business to make money to support her

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – SUP-1950

MINUTES - Continued:

husband and herself in order to avoid seeking public assistance. If denied, it will demonstrate the Council's objection to minority business operators. The Planning Department should have told him the location was inappropriate from the start, instead of wasting his time and money.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, listed the existing businesses of this type that already exist in the area. The residents and City are working to improve the area and the applicant should use the site in order to relieve traffic problems across the street.

KEVIN McCUELLEN, 3485 North Nellis, #10, cited existing pawnshops and check cashing businesses. Another one would be too much and too close.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY agreed that the area is over saturated with this type of business. There is a need for the service, but over saturation becomes a problem.

BOU OSTEEN protested that others have been approved for multiple businesses. She is proposing a small store specifically for Asian clientele. This will be to support herself. Asians have a need for this type of service from someone who can specifically help them. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY discussed the possibility of the City helping her to identify another location. This is not a racial issue, but over saturation. He and the City support new businesses by minorities. MS. OSTEEN replied that the location is specifically appropriate and in proximity to her clientele. She agreed to an abeyance in order to receive the assistance of the Councilman.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:27 – 2:38)

3-2287

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-1982 - HOWARD HUGHES CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF THE F.M. AND NANCY CORRIGAN TRUST -
Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED TAVERN at 10820 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 137-36-414-003), P-C (Planned Community) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Support letter from Terry D & Patricia C Nichols

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he has been friends with the Corrigan Family for a long time, but feels that it will not affect his judgment and will be voting on the item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. This Mexican restaurant/tavern located in Canyon Point in front of the new Costco is a new concept for this developer. He presented the renderings.

GABRIEL LITHER, 10921 Maryville Avenue, indicated that there is a linear parkway directly across from this project and his development. Generally, the neighbors are opposed but it appears to be unstoppable. The opposition is to what is still a bar. Potentially this operation

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – SUP-1982

MINUTES – Continued:

could be open 24-hours a day, with the related adverse impacts of additional crime, traffic and drunk drivers. He pointed out that one of the access streets is primarily a residential street. People already substantially exceed the speed zone on that street, despite the presence of people and children from the nearby high school, junior high school and leisure service center. The high school students already drive erratically. Summerlin advertises the family lifestyle: walking trails and bike riding. A month ago a father and son were biking to the center, just as he and his son do, a drunk driver killed the father. Howard Hughes and the City must do something about the speeding. The proposed business is a type of business that attracts crime and a person thinking of robbing this business might hide in the nearby linear park. If there are going to be 24-hour businesses in this center, Howard Hughes has a duty to make sure that the center is a safe place. Perhaps provide a 24-hour security or a mechanism to prevent people from getting into the bar at 4:00 a.m. unless they know those people. He inquired how many robberies occur at Corrigan's other bars and what steps are taken to ensure it does not occur at this location.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, criticized those people that move into a 24-hour town and who try to make it an eight-hour town.

ATTORNEY AMICK confirmed for COUNCILMAN REESE that it would be a 24-hour business. Having this business opened 24 hours will have a higher level of security. This location is at Charleston Boulevard and I-215 and separated from residences by Costco. A casino is proposed on the other side of Charleston Boulevard, which will be opened 24 hours. The applicant has worked with Hughes Corporation for two years and has gone through a rigorous selection process to meet their high standards.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that the Corrigan Family has always provided a high quality business. They are building long-term investments and have always given back to the community.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE explained for MR. LITHER that once the public speaks, the applicant is allowed to rebut and make his final comments. The Council considers all concerns expressed during the public hearing. MR. LITHER stated that it was disingenuous for the applicant not to reveal from the start the 24-hour business. He asked who could address his concerns regarding traffic at this particular location. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE replied that DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS would provide that information to him.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:38 – 2:50)

3-2792

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – SUP-1982

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for Liquor Establishment (Tavern) use.
3. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0135-93) and Summerlin Development Plan Review (SV-0001-02).
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
6. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2008 - TRANSIT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE EXTENSION OF THE LAS VEGAS MONORAIL SYSTEM from the Sahara Station to Stewart Avenue (APN: 139-34-302-004, 005, 006 and 139-33-702-003), Ward 1 (M. McDonald) and Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 64
5. PowerPoint presentation not submitted for the record

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the proposed route runs along the side of the street where a Super Pawn owned by his brother STEVEN MACK is located, but because of its distance he does not believe the store will be significantly impacted. Therefore, he would vote on the item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY ED GARCIA, Jones Vargas, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared together with CAM WALKER, President of the Las Vegas Monorail Company and Chairman of Transit Systems Development. ATTORNEY GARCIA thanked staff for their assistance with the application process, and concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – SUP-2008

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. WALKER explained that the Las Vegas Monorail is progressing and Transit Systems Development is excited to begin the process of bringing the monorail to Downtown Las Vegas. The Las Vegas Monorail is a driverless state-of-the-art system that when completed in the Phase 1 section will take one mile and make it four miles, and take two stations and make it seven stations. This urbanized system will serve parts of Southern Nevada, but it needs to be moved into the City of Las Vegas. The Phase 1 project was developed by the private resorts, which have stepped up to the plate and are now seeing this project nearing completion. The project is on time and under-budget and is set to open in January 2004.

MR. WALKER explained that the alignment starts at the Sahara Hotel, which is the boundary between the City and the County. The construction moves south with the station at Sahara Hotel, the Las Vegas Hilton, at the Convention Center, Harrahs, Imperial Palace, the Flamingo Las Vegas, Ballys, and Paris will have a stop where there was one before, and then the MGM Grand. The monorail will connect 25,000 hotel rooms. The monorail technology is futuristic and the most technologically advanced transportation system in the country. He reported for COUNCILMAN BROWN that when the weight in the car exceeds a certain amount, it causes the dual air conditioning to go on. The urban system meets all the ADA Fire, Life and Safety standards. Through a PowerPoint presentation, he showed different phases of construction and the type of equipment and material used. While construction is ongoing, they will not disrupt the City of Las Vegas, as the system moves downtown. Their financing resources come from three different areas; one is a full-fund and grant agreement, second is the Tiffy application, which is an innovative transportation loan, and thirdly is selling revenue bonds, similar to what was done for the first system in the County. He asked that they be granted the opportunity to begin the process to extend the system downtown. With the help of the RTC financing should take place the second quarter of 2004, shortly after the first phase is opened. The goal is to have the system running by the first part of 2007.

RICHARD SCOTT, 826 South Commerce Street, supports the project, but expressed concern about vehicular traffic on Commerce Street. Currently, traffic is difficult at this particular intersection and felt the traffic flow might have an adverse effect upon his property.

SCOTT PETERSON, 5851 West Charleston, appeared on behalf of MAXINE SPENCER, who owns property directly affected by the monorail. Although she does not object to the monorail system, she is concerned that it could destroy her livelihood. On the overhead he showed MS. SPENCER'S property location and how one pylon affects the front of her property. As a result of that pylon, her property will be taken in its entirety. She leases this property and wondered if that alignment can be adjusted or the building modified so she is not completely taken out of business.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – SUP-2008

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked what part of the extension of the monorail would be completed by or before May 15, 2005, which is the beginning of the City of Las Vegas Centennial celebration. If not, he asked if the applicant proposes an alternative to guarantee transportation downtown during the City of Las Vegas Centennial Transportation.

KEVIN McCULLEN, 245 N. Nellis, #10, Clark County, asked if the monorail would run along Main Street near the railroad tracks. MR. WALKER replied that it would be running in the middle of Main Street. The RTC has been going through an environmental process, which has not allowed them to meet with property owners to work with them, but will meet with MR. SCOTT and MR. PERTERSON. Before the first phase the right-of-ways were in place before the project was financed. Minor modifications and changes were made. The columns in place today could move six feet one way or the other or entirely. There might be an adjacent property owner who is willing to sell their property where some modification can be made to the structure. The use permit will give them the ability to go out and meet with those property owners directly affected. Their objective is to ensure that no one's livelihood is ruined, and they do not want to use eminent domain to take someone's property. Lastly, the RTC and the Councilmen who sit on the board have been forward thinking on their part at looking at alternative ways to move people back and forth to Fremont Street by using double-decker buses in the interim, especially during the Centennial celebration. He agreed that the monorail is late and should have been here five, ten years ago.

COUNCILMAN MACK commended the applicant and agreed that the monorail should have been built earlier. The link between downtown and the Strip and eventually to the airport will be vital to moving, not only tourists, but also people who work on the Strip and the downtown area.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE thanked MR. WALKER for addressing the residents' concerns and asked those residents to give him their addresses.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:50 – 3:04)

3-3437

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – SUP-2008

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All ground level equipment, power propulsion systems and maintenance facilities shall be screened from streets and residential development with a decorative block wall not to exceed 10 feet in height; landscaping sufficient to screen the equipment, systems and facilities shall be required.
2. All proposed buildings and structures associated with the monorail shall be designed to be architecturally compatible with the existing buildings and structures in the vicinity of the system as determined by the Planning Commission and City Council.
3. Any change to the alignment of the monorail route or the maintenance and storage facility shall require an amendment to this Special Use Permit.
4. The exterior noise level generated by the system shall not exceed 75 dBA as measured according to typical ISO (International Standards Organization) standards.
5. Approval of a Site Development Plan for the monorail stations by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to the construction of any portion of the monorail stations.

Public Works

6. Upon development of this project, appropriate right-of-way dedications, street improvements, drainage plan/studies and traffic mitigation commitments may be required.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2036 - VIVINIAN O'HARE ON BEHALF OF FOCUS PROPERTY GROUP - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A GATED DEVELOPMENT WITH PRIVATE STREETS adjacent to the east side of Tee Pee Lane, approximately 250 feet north of Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-07-801-002), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 54

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY CHRIS KAEMPFER, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, and CALVIN CHAMPLIN, 3455 Cliff Shadows Parkway, Suite #220, appeared on behalf of the applicant. ATTORNEY KAEMPFER concurred with staff's conditions and requested approval.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, opposes gated communities within Town Center. He also verified that the trail running on the east side of Tee Pee Lane would be included.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – SUP-2036

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, questioned the Planning Commission vote of 6 to 1 and what constitutes a unanimous vote. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT discussed with ATTORNEY KAEMPFER and verified that reducing the board to six with one abstention, it is a unanimous approval of those who are eligible to vote.

COUNCILMAN BROWN commented that ATTORNEY KAEMPER is the head softball coach at the Meadows School and asked him to share his team's accomplishments. ATTORNEY KAEMPFER announced that his team is the State Champions of the 2-A Division Softball. He is very proud of his team, who won their league, their region and state undefeated. He hopes to acknowledge his team before the City Council real soon.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that the open space provided in this particular project was well thought-out.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 124 [SUP-2036] and Item 125 [SDR-2035] was held under Item 124 [SUP-2036].

(3:04 – 3:11)

4-321

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2035).
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The private streets shall be subject to Title 19.04.050(B) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code and to Section E.G. of the Town Center Development Standards Manual.
4. The private streets shall be subject to the design standards specified in Title 18 (Las Vegas Subdivision Ordinance).
5. The City shall be permitted to examine the street to determine its compliance with approved standards.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – SUP-2036

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. All development shall conform to the Conditions of Approval for the Tentative Map and all other subsequent site related actions.
7. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

8. Gated entry drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
9. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
10. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
11. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Site Development Plan Review application SDR-2035 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2036 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2035 - VIVINIAN O'HARE ON BEHALF OF FOCUS PROPERTY GROUP** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 265-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 25.91 acres adjacent to the east side of Tee Pee Lane, approximately 250 feet north of Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-07-801-002), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 55

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY CHRIS KAEMPFER, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, and CALVIN CHAMPLIN, 3455 Cliff Shadows Parkway, Suite #220, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – SDR-2035

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 124 [SUP-2036] and Item 125 [SDR-2035] was held under Item 124 [SUP-2036].

(3:04 – 3:11)

4-321

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A revised site plan shall be submitted to the Planning and Development Department for review and approval depicting open space areas that are permitted per R-PD development standards (Title 19.06.040). The plan shall include calculations that clearly indicate the amount of open space.
2. Approval of Special Use Permit (SUP-2036) to permit a gated community with private streets on this site. Otherwise submit a revised site plan, prior to approval of the tentative map, to the Planning and Development Department for review and approval showing the development to be in conformance with the requirements for a non-gated development in Town Center.
3. The setbacks for this development shall be a maximum of 5 feet or 18 feet and greater to the front of the garage and house as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 4 feet on the side, 9 feet on the corner side, and 4 feet in the rear.
4. The southern-gated entry shall be revised to meet Public Works standards for a gated entrance.
5. The Tentative Map shall depict the required 20-foot wide Multi-Use Transportation Trail along Tee Pee Lane and the Frontage Road, in accordance with Map Six of the Transportation Trails Element of the Master Plan. The Multi-Use Trail shall be designed and constructed in accordance with Exhibit 1 of the Transportation Trails Element of the General Plan.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised and submitted for review to the Planning and Development Department to reflect acceptable planting material and detailed plans for the Multi-Use Trails, prior to the submittal of a Final map. If the Frontage Road is realigned, then the applicant shall work with the Planning and Development Department to determine the final location of the Multi-Use Trails.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – SDR-2035

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. The two subdivision entries from Tee Pee Lane shall conform to figure 32 and figure 33 of the Town Center Development Standards Manual except for modifications required by Public Works for a gated entrance.
8. The standards for this development shall include the following: typical lot size of 2,100 square feet, minimum distance between buildings of 8 feet, and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit. The developer shall be required to install the landscape requirements for the median for the Frontage Road where adjacent to the subject site.
10. All sidewalk patterns and street corners shall conform to the Town Center Development Standards for Special Pavement and Sidewalk Treatment.
11. Design of the perimeter walls shall conform to Figure 28 and/or Figure 28A of the Town Center Development Standards.
12. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
13. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
14. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
15. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
16. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
17. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – SDR-2035

CONDITIONS – Continued:

18. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations submitted with the addition of all required parking, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

19. Prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map, meet with the City Engineer's section of the Department of Public Works to determine the impact the realigned Frontage Road will have on this site. Dedicate all rights-of-way necessary to accommodate the realigned frontage road.
20. Dedicate an additional 10 feet of right-of-way for the Frontage Road adjacent to this site and dedicate an appropriate radius at the southwest corner of the Frontage Road and Tee Pee Lane.
21. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving if legally able on Tee Pee Lane and construct the full width of the Frontage Road (aka Oso Blanca Road) adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Coordinate with the City Engineer to determine appropriate improvements for the eastern side of the Frontage Road. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. All improvements shall be constructed to Town Center standards.
22. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer from the existing sewer line at Fort Apache Road and Grand Teton Drive to the northeastern edge of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
23. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated entries, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – SDR-2035

CONDITIONS – Continued:

24. A Master Streetlight Plan of public street lights for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
25. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
26. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
27. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Tee Pee Lane and the Frontage Road adjacent to this site.
28. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Tee Pee Lane and the Frontage Road public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
29. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – SDR-2035

CONDITIONS – Continued:

access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

30. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
31. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-1855 - JAMES PINJUV, ET AL** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) on 9.46 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Azure Drive and Rio Vista Street (APN: 125-27-503-013 and 014), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JAMES PINJUV, 50 South Jones Boulevard, Suite #101, concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:11)

4-524

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – ZON-1855

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. Density within the portion of the site that lies in the Rural Preservation Neighborhood Buffer shall be limited to 3.0 Units Per Acre.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Azure Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Regena Avenue and Rio Vista Street adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
5. Extend public sewer to the northwest corner of this development to a location, at a depth and along an alignment acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – ZON-1855

CONDITIONS - Continued:

approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-1936 - SOUTHWEST DESERT EQUITIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF GREYSTONE NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] TO: PD (Planned Development) on 18.83 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Peaceful Dawn Avenue and Cliff Shadows Parkway (APN: 137-01-101-002, 003, 004, and a portion of 005), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE clarified with MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Planning and Development Department, that the correct acreage on Item 129 [VAR-2196] is 1.25 acres of open space instead of 1.45 acres. A note has been included within the application with the correct figure.

ATTORNEY CHRIS KAEMPFER, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, and CALVIN CHAMPLIN, 3455 Cliff Shadows Parkway, Suite #220, appeared on behalf of the applicant. ATTORNEY KAEMPFER explained that they are 400

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 127 – ZON-1936

MINUTES – Continued:

square feet less than the required 56,000 square feet of open space. He accepted all staff conditions and asked that Condition 7 on Item 128 [SDR-1937] be deleted as the master developer and the builder have already agreed on a contribution. With regard to Condition 10 on the same item, he noted for the record that some active spaces within the open space will be provided, but still unsure exactly how that will be designed.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked whether the 18-foot block wall issue has been resolved, and if the trail running along Cliff Shadows Parkway was moved over to Puli Road. MR. CHAMPLIN replied that they have worked with staff on coming up with a solution for the block wall. His understanding is that staff is agreeable to what was submitted. It will add character to the Lone Mountain West community. He confirmed that the trail system will still be provided and it has not been moved.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked staff if the applicant complies with Condition 7 on Item 128 [SDR-1937]. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, replied that that particular condition should not have been included and recommended that it be deleted. COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that he has not seen the entire layout of the park, but had asked staff to discuss with the applicant about doing something more than landscaping, shrubs or walkways, but include an active tot lot or mini court or a pavilion with a barbeque. ATTORNEY KAEMPFER replied that the developer understands that there be barbeques or play areas, but wants their landscaper to design the layout and asked not to commit to the exact design, but have the record reflect that there should be active open space area.

MR. GENZER indicated that with regard to the perimeter wall on the western edge of the development, staff would like to hold open the option of continuing to work with the developer to determine if it might be possible to put some landscaping somewhere along the top of that wall. He noticed in the elevation that there appears to be some type of v-ditch or little drainage channel at the top, but that can be further explored. ATTORNEY KAEMPFER indicated that they are willing to work with staff on that.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 127 [ZON-1936], Item 128 [SDR-1937] and Item 129 [VAR-2196] was held under Item 127 [ZON-1936].

(3:11 – 3:20)

4-556

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 127 – ZON-1936

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

2. Petition of Vacation VAC-59-02 must record prior to the recordation of a Final Map overlying or adjacent to the area being vacated.
3. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way, where not already existing, adjacent to this site for Lone Mountain Road, 30 feet for Peaceful Dawn Road, 40 feet for Cliff Shadow Parkway, a 20 foot radius on the northwest corner of Cliff Shadows Parkway and Peaceful Dawn Road and dedicate a 54 foot radius on the southwest corner of Cliff Shadows Parkway and Lone Mountain Road.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Lone Mountain Road, Peaceful Dawn Avenue, and Cliff Shadows Parkway adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Construct widened pavement extending eastward on Lone Mountain Road to Cliff Shadows Parkway and northward on Cliff Shadows Parkway to Lone Mountain Road adjacent to the "Not A Part" parcel at the southwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Cliff Shadows Parkway. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
5. Extend public sewer in Peaceful Dawn Road to the west edge of this development and to the northern portion of parcel 137-01-101-005 to a location, depth and alignment acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 127 – ZON-1936

CONDITIONS – Continued:

contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1936 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1937 - SOUTHWEST DESERT EQUITIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF GREYSTONE NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 171-LOT SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 18.83 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Peaceful Dawn Avenue and Cliff Shadows Parkway (APN:137-01-101-002, 003, 004, and a portion of 005), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: PD (Planned Development) Zone], Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition 7 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY CHRIS KAEMPFER, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, and CALVIN CHAMPLIN, 3455 Cliff Shadows Parkway, Suite #220, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

ATTORNEY KAEMPFER discussed with COUNCILMAN BROWN that Condition 10 reflects the representation that he made. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – SDR-1937

MINUTES – Continued:

Department, clarified that the intent is to put amenities within those open space areas, and that is reflected in Condition 10.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 127 [ZON-1936] for additional related discussion pertaining to Item 128 [SDR-1937] and Item 129 [VAR-2196].

(3:11 – 3:20)

4-556

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (ZON-1936) to a PD (Planned Development) Zoning District and a Variance (VAR-2196) for the reduction of open space approved by the City Council.
2. This development is limited to not more than 171 lots.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum lot size of 2,000 square feet, minimum distance between buildings of 6 feet, and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
6. The setbacks for this development shall be a maximum of 5 feet or a minimum of 18 feet to the garage as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 3 feet on the side, 8 feet on the corner side, and 4 feet in the rear.
7. The applicant shall work with the master developer to determine the proportionate share of the cost of provision of infrastructure and services.
8. The applicant shall contribute \$146,700 toward the cost of park 2 within the Lone Mountain West Master Plan Area, prior to the issuance of any building permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – SDR-1937

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. The applicant shall submit detailed plans of the proposed rock wall along the west property line to the Planning and Development Department for review, prior to City Council meeting to determine compliance with Title 18 and other city standards.
10. A detailed landscaping plan depicting recreational facilities in the usable open space areas must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
11. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters and shall meet the plant palette of the Lone Mountain West Master Plan.
12. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
13. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
14. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated. All walls shall meet the standards in the Lone Mountain West Master Plan.
15. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
16. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – SDR-1937

CONDITIONS – Continued:

18. Provide a paved legal access per Clark County Area Standard Drawing #209 to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
19. A Master Streetlight Plan of public street lights shall be submitted and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
20. A Homeowner's Association or other private maintenance organization shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
21. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
22. Meet with the Clark County School District to discuss the provision of pedestrian access points prior to submitting civil drawings for approval.
23. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-1936 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
24. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO ZON-1936 AND SDR-1937 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2196**
- SOUTHWEST DESERT EQUITIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON
BEHALF OF GREYSTONE NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for
a Variance TO ALLOW 1.3 ACRES OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 1.30 ACRES IS REQUIRED
on 18.83 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Peaceful Dawn Avenue and Cliff Shadows
Parkway (APN: 137-01-101-002, 003, 004, and a portion of 005), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD
(Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: PD (Planned
Development)], Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-
1 vote) recommends APPROVAL. **NOTE: Variance is to allow 1.25 acres of open space**
instead of 1.45 acres

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL,
subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M.
McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY CHRIS KAEMPFER, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard
Hughes Parkway, and CALVIN CHAMPLIN, 3455 Cliff Shadows Parkway, Suite #220,
appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – VAR-2196

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 127 [ZON-1936], Item 128 [SDR-1937] and Item 129 [VAR-2196] was held under Item 127 [ZON-1936].

(3:11 – 3:20)

4-556

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (ZON-1936) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-1937).
2. This Variance for 1.25 acres of open space instead of the required 1.45 acres shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-1957 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-2 (General Commercial) TO: R-1 (Single Family Residential) on 0.75 acres at 4130, 4134 and 4138 Coran Lane (APN: 139-19-610-013, 014 and 015) EXISTING USE: THREE SINGLE FAMILY DWELLINGS, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**1****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Letter submitted after final agenda: Lorri & Mike Law
5. Submitted after Final Agenda – Support letter from Alan Brunsell

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Planning and Development Department, explained that these properties were never rezoned at the time that the General Plan was changed. This proposal would merely change the zoning to conform existing single-family residences and any difficulties they may have had with financing would then go away. The property owners have been contacted and concurred with this action.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, and MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Planning and Development Department and their staff for taking the time to work on this action.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 130 – ZON-1957

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:32 – 3:34)

4-1275

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-2038 – JACOB, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF GREEN, HERRINGTON & HOWELL, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to N-S (Neighborhood Service) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 1.11 acres adjacent to the north side of Lake Mead Boulevard, approximately 630 feet west of Torrey Pines Drive (APN:138-23-201-003), PROPOSED USE: FAMILY DOLLAR STORE, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 47

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JIM VILLANI, landowner, appeared on behalf of the Jacob Limited Partnership concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that this difficult parcel is situated between a busy street and a quiet neighborhood. The adjacent Battington Court Homeowners support this project.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 131 – ZON-2038

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 132 [SDR-2039] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:36)

4-1337

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
4. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 131 – ZON-2038

MINUTES – Continued:

to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2038 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2039 - JACOB, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF GREEN, HERRINGTON & HOWELL, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and WAIVERS TO THE COMMERCIAL DESIGN STANDARDS FOR A PROPOSED 9,968 SQUARE FOOT FAMILY DOLLAR STORE; AND A REDUCTION IN THE AMOUNT OF PERIMETER LANDSCAPING on 1.11 acres adjacent to the north side of Lake Mead Boulevard, approximately 630 feet west of Torrey Pines Drive (APN: 138-23-201-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to N-S (Neighborhood Service) [Proposed: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 48

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and an added condition:

- *The existing wall along the northern property line be either raised to 8 feet or have curbed ironwork added to top. If not structurally sound, this existing wall may be removed and replaced with a new 8-foot wall.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JIM VILLANI, landowner, appeared on behalf of the Jacob Limited Partnership concurred with staff recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 132 – SDR-2039

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended that a condition be added stating that the existing wall along the northern property line be either raised to 8 feet or have curbed ironwork added to top. If not structurally sound, this existing wall may be removed and replaced with a new 8-foot wall. MR. VILLANI concurred with the added condition.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 131 [ZON-2038] for related discussion.

(3:34 – 3:36)

4-1337

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. A Rezoning (ZON-2038) to a C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein. The parapet shall extend fully around the building.
4. The site plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the relocation of the building to allow a landscape planter between the building and the parking areas.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center along all public rights-of-way and adjacent to residential properties, 30 feet on-center along all property lines that are adjacent to commercial development; with a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 132 – SDR-2039

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A. We note that the proposed driveway must have a throat depth of 35 feet and the driveway be relocated to the west side of the site or a joint access agreement with the property to the east (APN 138-23-201-008) must be obtained and the driveway be aligned with the public street across Lake Mead Boulevard from this site.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-2038 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-1983 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend Map No. 2 of the Interlocal Agreement Joint Parks and Trails Plan; Map No. 2 of the Master Plan Transportation Trails Element and Map No. 2 of the Recreation Trails Element to realign minor sections of trails along Hualapai Way and Grand Teton Drive, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

TOM PERRIGO, Planning and Development Department, explained the type of adjustments that will be made to the trails. These realignments have already been incorporated in the County's trail plans. The first adjustment takes the trail alignment along Grand Teton Drive up along Tenaya Way and over and down Buffalo Drive where it reconnects with Grand Teton Drive. The second is an adjustment along a portion of the trail where it intersects with Grand Teton Drive making it easier for people to cross, as traffic gets heavier in the future. That will be realigned to Hualapai Way so it will intersect at future signalization intersection.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19 Street, stated that this is a good compromise.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 133 – GPA-1983

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked staff, COMMISSIONER MAXFIELD and COUNCILMAN BROWN. This is the last piece of housekeeping done collectively with the interlocal agreement that ties the trails master plan with the master plan element.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:36 – 3:39)

4-1450

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-2249 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS - Request to amend the Southeast Sector Plan of the City of Las Vegas General Plan by the adoption of the "Downtown North Land Use Plan." The Downtown North Area is located south of Owens Avenue, east of Interstate 15, north of Interstate 515, and west of Bruce Street, Sagman Street, and Ninth Street, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report - Not Applicable

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother STEVEN MACK owns a SuperPawn in the vicinity, but because the store is within North Las Vegas, it will not be affected by this proposal. He has not discussed the matter with his brother and therefore will vote on the item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Planning and Development Department, explained that the Downtown North Plan is the result of over two years of work for a plan that addresses the issues of this area, which is comprised of the Biltmore Bungalows Areas 1, 2 and 3, one of the first subdivisions in the City of Las Vegas. The industrial area, east on the railroad tracks and next to the I-15 freeway and also the City's Cultural Corridor contain most of the regional museums within the area, including the Lied Children's Museum, the Old Mormon Fort, Cashman Field and the new Neon Museum. This area has been

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – GPA-2249

MINUTES – Continued:

the subject of study for sometime and is currently an area of particular interest. The most important feature of the General Plan Amendment is the change of the general plan designation on the existing cemetery from commercial to public, as those areas will not be the locations of future commercial development. The area south of Washington Avenue is all within the Redevelopment Plan area in which it gets its general plan designation. The plan has gone before the Historical Preservation Committee, the Central City Development Corporation and the Cultural Corridor Coalition.

MARILYN GILLESPIE, Las Vegas Natural History Museum and a member of the Cultural Corridor Coalition, pointed out that the Cultural Corridor is bringing a million and a half visitors to the neighborhood. They come from the entire Las Vegas Valley, the State and the country, as well as internationally. Visitors are generally pleased with what they see at the museum, but are less enthusiastic about the neighborhood. Adopting the North Plan will not only benefit those people who live and work in the neighborhood, but the community as a whole. It will also help improve the image of Las Vegas.

SANDRA HARRIS, Executive Director of the Neon Museum and a member of the Board of Directors for the Cultural Corridor Coalition, supports this plan. The Neon Museum is about to launch a campaign to invest millions of dollars of private funds in this area and the Cultural Coalition as a separate non-profit will ensure that all of these institutions can move forward with their goals to serve the residents and the visitors. She asked that the type of businesses in the area be analyzed and that discussions continue regarding a monorail stop. The pioneer trail will help Las Vegas become an extension of that downtown area. She thanked COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and REESE for participating in the open house this past weekend.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, stated that the Las Vegas Natural History Museum ranks equally with the Las Vegas Cultural and Performing Art Center. It is now becoming visible and it would benefit enormously by the Council's dedicated provision of all possible financial support it can provide, in particular in terms of marketing and advertising campaign to let the citizens of the community, the State, the nation and the world know the significance of this particular attraction, especially with the upcoming Centennial Celebration.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE commented that the City Council is proud of the Natural History Museum and the new park where the Mormon Fort is located.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, has been a resident of this particular area for over 10 years and is pleased that the plan will finally look at the back door to Las Vegas. He thanked the City Council for the proposed plan, which will help the downtown area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – GPA-2249

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that the City will continue to support this corridor and that it should get very aggressive looking at not only the preservation of the cultural facilities that currently exist, but looking at some of the opportunities the corridor presents. He suggested the City look at purchasing the Bungalow 3 property, not only to preserve existing facilities, but also to expand this area as a cultural corridor. He indicated that Cashman Field Center paid off their bonds and the Convention Authority with their expansion, but the expansion is not for the use originally envisioned. This creates an opportunity for the City to look at that land and some of the run down commercial properties that certainly tarnish the image that the City is trying to create along this corridor. He encouraged the City to take this plan to the next level and look at opportunities that create more opportunities. With the civic uses, this corridor could become, not the back door to Las Vegas, but a very strong destination point for the entire valley.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY expressed appreciation to those citizens who give their time to ensure that this area is not forgotten. He would like this area to be known as the front door to Downtown Las Vegas. He thanked MS. GILLESPIE and MS. HARRIS for their support. As long as the City remains aggressive and adheres to the plan, he is sure that this becomes a front door.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:39 – 3:51)

4-1557

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-1016 - ROGER FOSTER** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: O (Office) TO: SC (Service Commercial) on 2.5 acres adjacent to the north side of Cheyenne Avenue, approximately 340 feet east of Fort Apache Road (APN: 138-08-401-013), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

7
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER owns a Timbers Bar and Grill adjacent to this site. He has not discussed this issue with his brother-in-law and does not believe it will impact his establishment; therefore, he will vote on both Items 135 and 136.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROGER FOSTER, 7770 Eldora Street, concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated for the record that he received a letter from GLENDA SELES, 308 Gladstone Court. MS. SELES is concerned about lights, noise and traffic along the Cheyenne corridor, which is the Sun City community. Many of those homes were purchased

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – GPA-1016

MINUTES – Continued:

long before anything was developed north of Cheyenne Avenue. There is interim truck traffic until the Beltway opens at Cheyenne Avenue. Regarding the lights, the applicant will work with the owners of the convenience store and the Timbers Tavern to mitigate the overflow that is impacting the neighborhood. During the Planning Commission meeting the residents asked that trees or a block wall be raised along the Sun City corridor. He asked staff if the City has the ability to do anything on the flood channel wall. Anything that the property owners want to do with their wall would certainly have to conform to code and go through their own homeowners association. He asked MR. FOSTER to address the motor homes, signage, construction, project lighting and hours of operation. COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that correspondence was also received from STEVE BRUEN.

MR. FOSTER explained that the proposal is for a two-story mini storage with a self-serve car wash in front. During a town hall meeting the residents did not oppose the mini storage, but did not like the idea of the car wash. He met with COUNCILMAN BROWN to discuss that issue, and then the zoning was changed from R-E to Office. Sun City residents are concerned that the two-story storage will block the view of the mountains. The proposed project is across from a 40-foot drainage ditch, a block wall with landscaping, a six-lane road with a median, sidewalk, another 15 feet of landscaping, a 20-foot single story building, and then a two-story, which will be approximately 23 feet in height. As far as the traffic, lighting, interruption into the neighborhood, he feels that his project will be less of an impact than a single story office building, which could be as high as the proposed two-story mini storage. The only traffic will come from those people who will be using the storage facility, and on a busy day there may be five customers. The lighting is a concern, but it does not arise from his project, but from the adjacent 7-Eleven convenience store and Timbers. There will be one light by his office, which can be turned off, and the building will be surrounded with motion sensors lighting. They will be turned off unless there is entry into the facility. The light will not invade in anybody's side yard.

MR. FOSTER discussed with COUNCILMAN BROWN that the hours of operation would be from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Some of the tenants are given gate passes, but if that presents a problem, he would no longer allow it. He agreed to ensure that during construction the contractor would adhere to City's ordinances, pertaining to hours of construction and water usage.

COUNCILMAN BROWN commented that a lot of misinformation caused many of the residents concerns. There was debate among staff and constituents about what is less intense, a mini

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – GPA-1016

MINUTES – Continued:

storage or an office complex open 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. He believes the mini storage to be the best neighbor along the commercial lines, as far as a buffer. He asked the applicant to submit a site development plan on the aesthetics of the facility and limiting motor vehicles in the back of the property. MR. FOSTER indicated that the facility will be smaller, going from 1200 to 1000 square feet. The architecture will be such that anybody looking at a glance will not be looking at a mini storage. The top half would be stuccoed.

COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that eight units per acre subdivision with two-story homes on this site would create the same concerns. He was pleased with the applicant's due diligence and stated that this is a good infill piece along this corridor.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, commented that even though the site plan is not before the Council today, he noted that it does not acknowledge Dapple Gray Road, which is a street that would exist on the east edge of this site. Condition 6 requires the dedication of Dapple Gray Road. He recommended that, if the site plan that they are contemplating does not include Dapple Gray Road, Condition 6 be amended to include that the applicant dedicate and construct as required, unless Dapple Grey Road is eliminated or actually the existing portion of the Dapple Grey Road is vacated. MR. FOSTER pointed out that in speaking with several people, everybody agreed that Dapple Gray Road should be vacated. Dapple Gray Road originated for the sole purpose of ingress and egress to the Water District, prior to Fort Apache Road construction.

COUNCILMAN BROWN commented that the City would initiate the vacation. MR. ANDERSON added that the only portion that actually exists is a small quarter of a cul-de-sac. It came out of the residential subdivision northeast of this site. Issues related to who is going to reclaim that property have to be dealt with. Dapple Gray Road is dedicated right-of-way. COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that it makes sense to eliminate that, regardless of what happens east of this property. MR. ANDERSON concurred and suggested that language be added to Condition 6 so that the applicant does not have to come back with a review of condition. That language would be "unless Dapple Gray is eliminated". COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that this project is the aftershock of some of the commercial originally approved in the County.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – GPA-1016

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 135 [GPA-1016] and Item 136 [ZON-1017] was held under Item 135 [GPA-1016].

(3:51 – 4:07)

4-2057

CONDITIONS:

1. A Rezoning (ZON-1017) to a N-S (Neighborhood Service) zoning district approved by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1016 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-1017 - ROGER FOSTER** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [O (Office) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to O (Office) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 2.5 acres adjacent to the north side of Cheyenne Avenue, approximately 340 feet east of Fort Apache Road (APN: 138-08-401-013), PROPOSED USE: MINI-WAREHOUSE, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****9****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 6 as follows:

6. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Cheyenne Avenue, a 25 foot radius on the northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Dapple Gray Road, 30 feet for Dapple Gray Road and appropriate right-of-way for the terminus of Dapple Gray Road, *unless Dapple Gray Road is eliminated*, prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right of Way Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in preparing the appropriate documents.

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROGER FOSTER, 7770 Eldora Street, concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – ZON-1017

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 135 [GPA-1016] and Item 136 [ZON-1017] was held under Item 135 [GPA-1016].

(3:51 – 4:07)

4-2057

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of TXT-1526 by the City Council prior to the issuance of permits for this site.
2. The zoning on the subject site shall be amended to N-S (Neighborhood Service).
3. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-1016) to a SC (Service Commercial) land use designation approved by the City Council.
4. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit
5. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council at a public hearing prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

6. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Cheyenne Avenue, a 25 foot radius on the northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Dapple Gray Road, 30 feet for Dapple Gray Road and appropriate right-of-way for the terminus of Dapple Gray Road prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right of Way Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in preparing the appropriate documents.
7. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) and an appropriate cul-de-sac on Dapple Gray Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Cheyenne Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
8. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – ZON-1017

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the submittal of any construction drawings or issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

10. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
11. Final grades for this site shall reflect the minimum increases necessary to achieve positive drainage of the site. The Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works shall enforce this condition through the Grading Plan approval process.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-1498 - JAMES R. DAVIDSON, ET AL** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) TO: L (Low Density Residential) on 7.8 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Alexander Road and Cimarron Road (APN: 138-04-404-030 through 034), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CRAIG BROOKSBY, 6260 Whispering Brook Court, appeared together with JAY EDWARD GUILLEN and concurred with staff recommendations. MR. BROOKSBY stated that they have worked with the area residents and during a neighborhood meeting they tried to mitigate their concerns. The people most impacted are those that reside in a small cul-de-sac on the back of the property. They will vacate Tomsik to create a private cul-de-sac. They have worked with the people located in an adjoining cul-de-sac and tried to come to an agreement. On the overhead he showed two lots that were made smaller to accommodate the entry to allow cars to get in those first two lots. Through the vacation of Tomsik there will be an extra 30 feet to one neighbor's yard and the utilities will be relocated. He indicated that the neighbors would like a gated entry in that cul-de-sac. If staff allows that, the neighbors would be appreciative if that could be facilitated.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – GPA-1498

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN verified with ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, that that particular cul-de-sac is in the City and not a County island.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked to see elevations for this project. He hopes that the project would be similar to the Cocapella Village. MR. BROOKSBY did not have any elevations at this time.

CHARLIE HOWE, 4061 North Tomsik, stated that he likes the applicant's proposal and wants to ensure that semi-custom homes will be built. He asked that the project be reduced to 20 lots.

JOHN CHARICK, 4051 North Tomsik, indicated that his house is located behind the proposed project. The applicant has worked very hard to make this a good transition for the adjacent residents. The gated entry caused the lots to shrink, but gaining the land on one side of his property is a good concession. His concern is the relocation of the utilities, especially the placement of the fire hydrant. Regarding the gate for the cul-de-sac, he asked how far out the gate could be pushed since there will be no traffic, except for those who reside in the cul-de-sac.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked if the applicant would incur the cost of relocating the utilities, and if the vacation of Tomsik would be incumbent upon the applicant, the City or the homeowner. BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, replied that the applicant for the vacation is the one the conditions are imposed against. However, in this particular case, the site plan has a condition requiring the vacation and the dedication of appropriate right-of-way radius. Therefore, the developer in this case is responsible to obtain additional right-of-way and to remove any public improvements and relocate any utilities that would need to be done for that vacation to record. The condition further states that if that does not exist or does not occur, then the developer has to come back with a new site plan that shows Tomsik coming through his site. Certainly, the burden is on this developer if he does not want to provide Tomsik through his site to both relocate those utilities and provide the additional rights of way that they are showing for that cul-de-sac bulb. MR. BROOKSBY agreed that to be the case. The tentative map reflects the relocation of the eight-inch water line, as well as the power line. Anything that is within that easement, they will make sure it gets properly relocated.

MR. ANDERSON added that the City has standards for gated entries into subdivisions. It is difficult for these smaller subdivisions to actually accommodate that standard and unusual for them to be off of a cul-de-sac, which further reduces their distance. It would be difficult for them to comply with the standards. However, at Council's direction he will inform Traffic Engineering staff to work with the applicant on something that will work for both the City and

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – GPA-1498

MINUTES – Continued:

the applicant. COUNCILMAN BROWN asked MR. ANDERSON to keep MR. BROOKSBY informed.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 137 [GPA-1498], Item 138 [ZON-2023], Item 139 [SUP-2033], Item 140 [SDR-2031] and Item 141 [VAC-2027] was held under Item 137 [GPA-1498].

(4:07 – 4:20)

4-2811

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1498 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2023 - JAMES R. DAVIDSON, ET AL** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates), R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to R-1 (Single Family Residential), and U (Undeveloped) [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [Proposed: L (Low Density Residential)] TO: R-1 (Single Family Residential) on 7.8 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Alexander Road and Cimarron Road (APN: 138-04-404-030 through 034), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CRAIG BROOKSBY, 6260 Whispering Brook Court, appeared together with JAY EDWARD GUILLEN and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – ZON-2023

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 137 [GPA-1498], Item 138 [ZON-2023], Item 139 [SUP-2033], Item 140 [SDR-2031] and Item 141 [VAC-2027] was held under Item 137 [GPA-1498].
(4:07 – 4:20)

4-2811

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.

Public Works

2. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Alexander Road, 40 feet for Cimarron Road, and a 54 foot radius at the northwest corner of Alexander Road and Cimarron Road. Also, dedicate or obtain dedication of appropriate right-of-way to terminate Tomsik Street with a cul-de-sac meeting current City standards, or dedicate 60 feet of right-of-way through this site for Tomsik Street including appropriate radii at Alexander Road.
3. Construct half-street improvements on Alexander Road and Cimarron Road adjacent to this site and construct appropriate improvements to either terminate Tomsik Street or to carry Tomsik Street through this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
4. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – ZON-2023

CONDITIONS – Continued:

in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

5. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-1498 AND ZON-2023 - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2033 - **JAMES R. DAVIDSON, ET AL** - Request for a Special Use Permit TO ALLOW A PRIVATE STREET FOR A PROPOSED 26-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 7.8 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Alexander Road and Cimarron Road (APN: 138-04-404-030 through 034), R-E (Residence Estates), R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to R-1 (Single Family Residential), and U (Undeveloped) [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] Zones [Proposed: R-1 (Single Family Residential)], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CRAIG BROOKSBY, 6260 Whispering Brook Court, appeared together with JAY EDWARD GUILLEN and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – SUP-2033

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 137 [GPA-1498], Item 138 [ZON-2023], Item 139 [SUP-2033], Item 140 [SDR-2031] and Item 141 [VAC-2027] was held under Item 137 [GPA-1498].

(4:07 – 4:20)

4-2811

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The private street shall be subject to the conditions within Section 19.04.050(B) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
3. The private street shall be subject to the design standards specified in Title 18 (Las Vegas Subdivision Ordinance).
4. The City shall be permitted to examine the street to determine its compliance with approved standards.
5. All development shall conform to the Conditions of Approval for the Tentative Map, Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2031) and all other subsequent site related actions.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

7. Gated entry drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
8. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
9. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – SUP-2033

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2023 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1498, ZON-2023 AND SUP-2033 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2031 - JAMES R. DAVIDSON, ET AL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 26-LOT SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT on 7.8 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Alexander Road and Cimarron Road (APN: 138-04-404-030 through 034), R-E (Residence Estates) R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to R-1 (Single Family Residential) and U (Undeveloped) [DR (Desert Rural) General Plan Designation] [Proposed: L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [Proposed: R-1 (Single Family Residential)] Zones, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2**0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- *There shall be an administrative review of the site development plan as to the type of units for this site.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CRAIG BROOKSBY, 6260 Whispering Brook Court, appeared together with JAY EDWARD GUILLEN and concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked the applicant if the site development plan were approved, if he would find a builder to build the project. MR. BROOKSBY replied that they might develop it to

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 140 – SDR-2031

MINUTES – Continued:

custom lots or find someone to buy the entire site. COUNCILMAN BROWN asked to see the type of home being built, size and elevations. He asked staff what would be the best way to ensure that. BOB GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, replied that his preference would be to add a condition requiring an additional review for a site development plan review, but specifically to show the homes, size, floor plan and elevations. By wording it that way, the Council will not necessarily be looking at the layout, but simply what is going on those lots. MR. BROOKSBY interjected that this creates a delay. He asked whether staff could look at the plan to make sure it complies, approve it and then meet with the neighbors. COUNCILMAN BROWN added that it could be done administratively. He reiterated that he would like to see the type of product that will be built there, be it a mix of single story, two-story custom homes, and maybe those lots abutting the cul-de-sac to the north could be single story. MR. GENZER noted that the condition could reflect an administrative review.

COUNCILMAN BROWN moved to approve the item with the added condition for an administrative review of the site development plan as to the type of product for this site.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 137 [GPA-1498], Item 138 [ZON-2023], Item 139 [SUP-2033], Item 140 [SDR-2031] and Item 141 [VAC-2027] was held under Item 137 [GPA-1498].

(4:07 – 4:24)

4-2811/4-3493

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (ZON-2023) to a R-1 (Single-Family Residential) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The setbacks for this development shall conform to the R-1 zoning district standards.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 140 – SDR-2031

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. Each dwelling unit shall have a minimum of two parking spaces. Tandem parking arrangements shall only count as one parking space.
5. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum lot size of 6,500 square feet, lot coverage shall not exceed 50%, and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
6. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, except as amended by conditions herein.
7. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
9. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

Public Works

11. A Petition of Vacation, such as VAC-2027, for the purpose of vacating Tomsik Street in a manner acceptable to the Department of Public Works, must record prior to the recordation of a Final Map for this site. If said vacation is not approved, a new Site Development Plan shall be submitted acknowledging Tomsik Street within the boundaries of this site.
12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated entries shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
13. A Master Streetlight Plan of public street lights for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 140 – SDR-2031

CONDITIONS – Continued:

14. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
15. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
16. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2023 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
17. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION RELATED TO GPA-1498, ZON-2023, SUP-2033 AND SDR-2031 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-2027 - MARIE ONGSIACO** - Petition to vacate a portion of Tomsik Street, generally located between Alexander Road and Florine Avenue, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CRAIG BROOKSBY, 6260 Whispering Brook Court, appeared together with JAY EDWARD GUILLEN and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 137 [GPA-1498], Item 138 [ZON-2023], Item 139 [SUP-2033], Item 140 [SDR-2031] and Item 141 [VAC-2027] was held under Item 137 [GPA-1498].

(4:07 – 4:20)

4-2811

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 141 – VAC-2027

CONDITIONS:

1. Provide a plan, acceptable to the City Engineer, showing how the area vacated with this action will be incorporated back into the adjacent properties. Provide notarized proof of approval from all involved property owners prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation.
2. Dedicate or obtain dedication for the complete cul-de-sac bulb per Standard Drawing #212 or an offset cul-de-sac prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation for this site. Tomsik Street cannot be terminated in a private cul-de-sac.
3. This Petition of Vacation shall be modified to retain those portions of Tomsik Street necessary to terminate Tomsik Street in a cul-de-sac meeting current City Standards.
4. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The Drainage Study required by ZON-2023 may be used to satisfy this requirement.
5. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
6. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
7. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
8. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #5 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 141 – VAC-2027

CONDITIONS:

9. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-1969 - RBJ SEPARATE PROPERTY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF HELLER DEVELOPMENT COMPANY
- Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector of the General Plan FROM: RNP (Rural Neighborhood Preservation) TO: DR (Desert Rural Residential) on 6.75 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road (APN: 125-26-301-003 and 004), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

46
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

4
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 23

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that MS. HUGHES acted as his brother's assistant at SuperPawn. Since then, she has gone onto other private venture. MR. BLACK is also a family friend. Neither relationship impacts his judgment regarding these applications and would be voting.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RANDY BLACK, JR., 2915 Montessori, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the Planning Commission and staff, with one exception. He indicated that the adjacent property owners on Rebecca Road are opposed to the streetlight condition and wish to maintain uniformity with the rest of the neighborhood by not having streetlights.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 142 – GPA-1969

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, indicated that at the Planning Commission meeting it was suggested that the open space requirement could be met if the developer donates money toward construction of a restroom facility in the park across the street.

CHERI HUGHES, 5853 Rebecca Road, explained that they purchased the one-acre of land just east of this project in 1991 and built their home in 1995. She has been very involved in development of the area, including getting Nevada Power to relocate a proposed power substation. She supports the proposed development. The opposition from the Ambiance Estates related to concern with two-story homes, but that has been addressed by a condition to be imposed on the developer. She supported both a waiver of the streetlights on Rebecca Road and of the open space in order to promote larger lots. She congratulated COUNCILMAN MACK on an informative neighborhood meeting and the helpful presentation by the Southern Nevada Water Authority on a drought plan.

JIM KRAMER, 6648 Alpine Brooks Avenue, opposed the open space variance. The park discussed is across Centennial Parkway and is not conducive for children living on the other side of the Parkway. The open space is necessary to be compatible with what exists within Alpine Brooks and Ambiance Estates. This is a young neighborhood where open space is necessary to maintain the integrity of the property. All infrastructures should be installed as well, including sidewalks, sewers and drainage. Granting the streetlighting variance on Rebecca Road would create a dark and dangerous situation for the children.

MS. HUGHES rebutted that the access for Ambiance Estates is through a crash gate, which is locked. Therefore, none of those residents come or go on Rebecca Road. That issue was specifically addressed during the neighborhood meeting. Those in attendance supported the streetlight waiver. Within the development, the lights are in front of the home. She understands that the same lighting is proposed for this project.

COUNCILMAN MACK advised that the open space issue has been negotiated with the developer. COMMISSIONER MAXFIELD has allocated funds for additional park improvements for Estelle Neal Park. If those funds are not used in this park, COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he would like the funds used in the area. In addition, the developer will provide funds in lieu of open space. The opposition from Ambiance Estates incorrectly identified the current zoning as undeveloped, which includes a single-story restriction.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 142 – GPA-1969

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 142 [GPA-1969], Item 143 [ZON-1970], Item 144 [VAR-1972] and Item 145 [SDR-1971] was held under Item 142 [GPA-1969].

(4:24 – 4:39)

5-40

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1969 - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-1970 - RBJ SEPARATE PROPERTY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF HELLER DEVELOPMENT COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [RNP (Rural Neighborhood Preservation) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: DR (Desert Rural Residential)] TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre) on 6.75 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road (APN: 125-26-301-003 and 004), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

46
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

4
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 24

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 5 to read as follows:

5. Construct half-street improvements on Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. *On Rebecca Road, the exterior streetlighting will be stubbed out for later use, but the installation of the streetlights shall be deferred, provided that the developer provide to the City such streetlights for the future installation; alternatively, monies in lieu of such streetlights may be contributed to the City, if allowed by the Department of Public Works.* Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that MS. HUGHES acted as his brother's assistant at SuperPawn. Since then, she has gone onto other private venture. MR. BLACK is also a family friend. Neither relationship impacts his judgment regarding these applications and would be voting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 143 – ZON-1970

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RANDY BLACK, JR., 2915 Montessori, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN MACK discussed with BART ANDERSON, Public Works, about deferring the streetlights and infrastructure until there is sufficient demand for them. MR. ANDERSON stressed that the deferral would still require the developer to install the undergrounds and either light poles or cash in lieu of the poles so that the City does not incur the expense of the lighting when they are installed in the future. That would require a modification of Condition 5.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that although he would support the motion to waive the streetlights, he has spent hours trying to find funding for lighting in older neighborhoods. Eventually crime forces streetlights. Installing full infrastructure avoids future funding difficulties.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 142 [GPA-1969], Item 143 [ZON-1970], Item 144 [VAR-1972] and Item 145 [SDR-1971] was held under Item 142 [GPA-1969].

(4:24 – 4:39)

5-40

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-1969) to a DR (Desert Rural) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Tropical Parkway, 30 feet for Rebecca Road, and a 20 foot radius at the southwest corner of Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 143 – ZON-1970

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Construct half-street improvements on Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
6. Extend public sewer in Rebecca Road to the north edge of this development to a location, depth and alignment acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 143 – ZON-1970

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-1969 AND ZON-1970 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-1972**
- RBJ SEPARATE PROPERTY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF HELLER DEVELOPMENT COMPANY - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW ZERO SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 11,326 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE IS REQUIRED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED 16-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT adjacent to the southwest corner of Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road (APN: 125-26-301-003 and 004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [RNP (Rural Neighborhood Preservation) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: DR (Desert Rural Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

46
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

4
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter of Protest from Robin Mucciarone
5. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 25

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 3 as follows:

3. *In lieu of compliance with the open space requirements of Municipal Code 19.06.040, the developer will be allowed to make a contribution to the City of Las Vegas Parks CIP fund in the amount of \$45,304, to be utilized by the City Council for improvements to the Estelle Neal Park, or other public parks nearby. This contribution must be made to Land Development prior to approval of a Final Map; otherwise the developer is still required to comply with the open space requirements in accordance with Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.*

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 144 – VAR-1972

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that MS. HUGHES acted as his brother's assistant at SuperPawn. Since then, she has gone onto other private venture. MR. BLACK is also a family friend. Neither relationship impacts his judgment regarding these applications and would be voting.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works, read the revised Condition 3 into the record regarding the contribution of \$45,304 and COUNCILMAN MACK confirmed with the developer that the revised condition was acceptable.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 142 [GPA-1969], Item 143 [ZON-1970], Item 144 [VAR-1972] and Item 145 [SDR-1971] was held under Item 142 [GPA-1969].

(4:24 – 4:39)

5-40

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (ZON-1970) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-1971).
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The applicant shall work with the Department of Public Works to determine an appropriate contribution to the Park at Rebecca Road and Tropical Parkway fund to offset the reduction in the amount of open space, prior to the City Council meeting.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1969, ZON-1970 AND VAR-1972 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1971 - RBJ SEPARATE PROPERTY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF HELLER DEVELOPMENT COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 16-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 6.75 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Tropical Parkway and Rebecca Road (APN: 125-26-301-003 and 004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [RNP (Rural Neighborhood Preservation) General Plan Designation] [Proposed: DR (Desert Rural Residential) General Plan Designation] [Proposed: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

46
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

4
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 26

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 5 as follows:

5. **The maximum building height shall be two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less, and no rooftop mechanical equipment shall be allowed. However, *all structures on Lots 1 through 7, abutting the south and west property lines, shall be limited to one-story in height.***

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that MS. HUGHES acted as his brother's assistant at SuperPawn. Since then, she has gone onto other private venture. MR. BLACK is also a family friend. Neither relationship impacts his judgment regarding these applications and would be voting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 145 – SDR-1971

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARGO WHEELER, Manager of Planning and Development, read the revised Condition 5 into the record and COUNCILMAN MACK confirmed with the developer that the revised condition was acceptable.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 142 [GPA-1969], Item 143 [ZON-1970], Item 144 [VAR-1972] and Item 145 [SDR-1971] was held under Item 142 [GPA-1969].

(4:24 – 4:39)

5-40

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. A Rezoning (ZON-1970) to an R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units Per Acre) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 25 feet to the front of the house and garage, as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 20 feet to the front of the house and garage for any lots on a knuckle or cul-de-sac bulb, 6 feet on the side, 11 feet on the corner side, and 20 feet in the rear.
5. The maximum building height shall be two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less, and no rooftop mechanical equipment shall be allowed. However, on lots 1 through 7 on the submitted site plan, the maximum building height shall be limited to one story.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised and reviewed by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters. The size, type and spacing of all landscaping materials must be clearly indicated on the landscape plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 145 – SDR-1971

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. Variance (VAR-1972) shall be approved by the City Council. Otherwise, the site plan shall be revised to depict the proper amount of interior open space.
8. Each dwelling unit shall have a minimum of two parking spaces. Tandem parking arrangements shall only count as one parking space.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.
10. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. “Hammerhead” cul-de-sacs must be redesigned as circular cul-de-sacs or terminate with a emergency exit gate to meet City Standards; “Hammerhead” cul-de-sacs for street terminations are not allowed within the City of Las Vegas jurisdiction.
14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
15. A Master Streetlight Plan of public street lights for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
16. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 145 – SDR-1971

CONDITIONS – Continued:

17. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-1970 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
19. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-1989 - DFA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: M (Medium Density Residential) and SC (Service Commercial) TO: SC (Service Commercial) on 0.57 acres at 1722 West Bonanza Road (APN:139-28-302-026), PROPOSED USE: OFFICE, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRUCE BILYEU, 1611 West Bonanza Road, concurred with staff conditions. He thanked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, for attending the neighborhood meeting.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commended the applicant for all he has done in that neighborhood.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 146 – GPA-1989

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 146 [GPA-1989], Item 147 [ZON-1992] and Item 148 [SDR-1991] was held under Item 146 [GPA-1989].

(4:39 – 4:42)

5-519

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1989 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-1992 - DFA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for Rezoning FROM: R-3 (Medium Density Residential) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 0.57 acres at 1722 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-302-026), PROPOSED USE: OFFICE, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRUCE BILYEU, 1611 West Bonanza Road, concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 146 [GPA-1989], Item 147 [ZON-1992] and Item 148 [SDR-1991] was held under Item 146 [GPA-1989].

(2:10 – 2:11)

4-706

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 147 – ZON-1992

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-1989) to an SC (Service Commercial) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

5. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Bonanza Road adjacent to this site.
6. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Bonanza Road public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1989 AND ZON-1992 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1991 - DFA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the Amount of Required Perimeter Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 5,255 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE DEVELOPMENT on 0.57 acres at 1722 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-302-026), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone [Proposed: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRUCE BILYEU, 1611 West Bonanza Road, concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 146 [GPA-1989], Item 147 [ZON-1992] and Item 148 [SDR-1991] was held under Item 146 [GPA-1989].

(2:10 – 2:11)

4-706

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 148 – SDR-1991

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-1989) to SC (Service Commercial) and a Rezoning (ZON-1992) to C-1 (Limited Commercial) by the City Council.
2. Provide a 20 foot wide multi-use transportation trail (in compliance with Exhibit 1 of the Transportation Element of the General Plan) along the south property line. Five feet of the trail may be located in the public right-of-way.
3. No additions to the buildings shall be allowed unless the additions adhere to the current setbacks for the zoning district or the appropriate deviations from the code are granted.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised to provide one landscaped parking finger for every 6 parking spaces throughout the site. Additionally, all required trees in the landscape planters shall be a minimum 24 inch box as required by the commercial design standards. The revised plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to the submittal of any development permits for this site.
5. Storage of any rental equipment north of Bonanza Road is prohibited.
6. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
7. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
8. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
9. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
10. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 148 – SDR-1991

CONDITIONS – Continued:

11. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
12. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
13. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
14. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
15. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

16. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-1992 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-1996 - FORTUNE N. LAMB, ET AL ON BEHALF OF GEORGE GEKAKIS, INC.** - Request to amend a portion of the Southwest Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: R (Rural Density Residential) TO: M (Medium Density Residential) on 9.83 acres adjacent to the east side of Jones Boulevard, approximately 630 feet south of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-13-101-002, 003 and 004), PROPOSED USE: AGE RESTRICTED APARTMENTS, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

229
460

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

147
18

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Protest petition with signatures representing 22 properties
5. Protest petition with 438 signatures
6. Support petition with 22 signatures representing 18 properties
7. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 34

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 7/2/2003 as the First Planning and Development discussion item – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that he has concerns with this project.

GEORGE GEKAKIS, 2655 South Rainbow, asked that Items 149 through 152 be held in abeyance for 45 days. He concurred with staff's current conditions. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY supported the abeyance and indicated that, although this is a beautiful project, he is concerned

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 149 – GPA-1996

MINUTES – Continued:

about the intense use. Before he moves forward he would like these concerns addressed. Jones Boulevard is becoming a major thoroughfare and consideration should be given to those property owners who live in this particular area. MR. GEKAKIS understood COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S concerns and indicated that he will present supporting documentation needed to make his decision.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that a spokesperson for the neighborhood speak on behalf of the other residents. Before the next meeting he will meet with the residents, as well as the applicant to resolve those concerns. This should be a win-win situation for everyone concerned.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY discussed with VELMA MUNS, 5916 Paseo Del Mar, that he would be hosting the neighborhood meeting and that notifications will be sent to all residents as to the location, date and time of the meeting. It would probably be held at Bunker Elementary School. He acknowledged that he watched the Planning Commission meeting and is aware of the concerns expressed by the residents. MS. MUNS stressed that the residents do not want apartments at this location. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY invited the residents to attend the meeting and hear the applicant's presentation and discuss the best solution for this property.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, confirmed with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that Items 149 thru 152 will be held in abeyance and will be placed first on the Planning agenda.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 149 [GPA-1996], Item 150 [ZON-1997], Item 151 [VAR-2002] and Item 152 [SDR-1999] was held under Item 149 [GPA-1996].

(3:20 – 3:32)

4-889

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1996 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-1997 - FORTUNE N. LAMB, ET AL ON BEHALF OF GEORGE GEKAKIS, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [Proposed: M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation] and R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-3 (Medium Density Residential) on 9.83 acres adjacent to the east side of Jones Boulevard, approximately 630 feet south of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-13-101-002, 003 and 004), PROPOSED USE: AGE RESTRICTED APARTMENTS, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

229
460

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

147
18

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Protest petition with signatures representing 22 properties
5. Protest petition with 438 signatures
6. Support petition with 22 signatures representing 18 properties
7. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 35

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 7/2/2003 as the First Planning and Development discussion item – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

GEORGE GEKAKIS, 2655 South Rainbow, asked that Items 149 through 152 be held in abeyance for 45 days.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 150 – ZON-1997

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 149 [GPA-1996], Item 150 [ZON-1997], Item 151 [VAR-2002] and Item 152 [SDR-1999] was held under Item 149 [GPA-1996].

(3:20 – 3:32)

4-889

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-1996 AND ZON-1997 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2002 - FORTUNE N. LAMB, ET AL ON BEHALF OF GEORGE GEKAKIS, INC.** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW THREE-STORY BUILDINGS WHERE THE R-3 (MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) ZONING DISTRICT ALLOWS MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHTS OF TWO STORIES AND TO ALLOW 249 PARKING SPACES WHERE 285 ARE REQUIRED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED AGE-RESTRICTED APARTMENT COMPLEX adjacent to the east side of Jones Boulevard, approximately 630 feet south of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-13-101-002, 003 and 004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] and R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [Proposed: R-3 (Medium Density Residential)], Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

229
461

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

147
18

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Protest petition with signatures representing 22 properties
5. Protest petition with 438 signatures
6. Support petition with 22 signatures representing 18 properties
7. Submitted after final agenda: Letters of Protest from Mary & William Marshall and Mattie MacDavid
8. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 36

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 7/2/2003 as the First Planning and Development discussion item – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 151 – VAR-2002

MINUTES – Continued:

GEORGE GEKAKIS, 2655 South Rainbow, asked that Items 149 through 152 be held in abeyance for 45 days.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 149 [GPA-1996], Item 150 [ZON-1997], Item 151 [VAR-2002] and Item 152 [SDR-1999] was held under Item 149 [GPA-1996].

(3:20 – 3:32)

4-889

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1996, ZON-1997 AND VAR-2002 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1999 - FORTUNE N. LAMB, ET AL ON BEHALF OF GEORGE GEKAKIS, NC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED TWO AND THREE STORY, 237-UNIT AGE RESTRICTED APARTMENT COMPLEX AND A WAIVER TO THE DENSITY REQUIREMENTS WITHIN A RURAL PRESERVATION NEIGHBORHOOD BUFFER on 9.83 acres adjacent to the east side of Jones Boulevard, approximately 630 feet south of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-13-101-002, 003 and 004), U (Undeveloped) [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [Proposed: M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation] and R-E (Residence Estates) Zones [Proposed: R-3 (Medium Density Residential)], Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

229
460

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

147
18

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Protest petition with signatures representing 22 properties
5. Protest petition with 438 signatures
6. Support petition with 22 signatures representing 18 properties
7. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 37

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 7/2/2003 as the First Planning and Development discussion item – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 152 – SDR-1999

MINUTES – Continued:

GEORGE GEKAKIS, 2655 South Rainbow, asked that Items 149 through 152 be held in abeyance for 45 days.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 149 [GPA-1996], Item 150 [ZON-1997], Item 151 [VAR-2002] and Item 152 [SDR-1999] was held under Item 149 [GPA-1996].

(3:20 – 3:32)

4-889

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2003 - ANCHOR CAPITAL GAINS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) on 11.08 acres adjacent to the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 900 feet north of Alexander Road (APN: 138-02-814-012), PROPOSED USE: OFFICE/RETAIL WITH WAREHOUSE, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining and M. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the proposed entrance for the project will utilize the same driveway as the SuperPawn owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, which he recently entered into a business relationship. Since this project could have significant impact on the SuperPawn he abstained from voting on Items 153 thru 155.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South Fourth Street, concurred with staff conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked what the two prongs are at the south side of the project, and if there will be emergency accesses. TIGER MYNARAK, Anchor Capital Gains, 6350 West Cheyenne Avenue, explained that the two prongs will be driveways going in and out of Alexander Road.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 153 – GPA-2003

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked how many buildings are involved in this particular project and if they will be one story. MR. MORENO replied that there will be a total of twelve single story buildings.

MR. FARLOW asked if the Public Works Department has any concerns having the access at Alexander Road. BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, responded that he is not aware of any problems.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 153 [GPA-2003], Item 154 [VAR-2005] and Item 155 [SDR-2004] was held under Item 153 [GPA-2003].

(4:42 – 4:47)

5-621

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-2003 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2005 - ANCHOR CAPITAL GAINS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A SETBACK OF 58-FEET WHERE THE RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY STANDARDS REQUIRE A SETBACK OF 60-FEET FOR A PROPOSED OFFICE AND RETAIL WITH WAREHOUSE DEVELOPMENT adjacent to the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 900 feet north of Alexander Road (APN: 138-02-814-012), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining and M. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the proposed entrance for the project will utilize the same driveway as the SuperPawn owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, which he recently entered into a business relationship. Since this project could have significant impact on the SuperPawn he abstained from voting on Items 153 thru 155.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South Fourth Street, concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 154 – VAR-2005

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 153 [GPA-2003], Item 154 [VAR-2005] and Item 155 [SDR-2004] was held under Item 153 [GPA-2003].

(4:42 – 4:47)

5-621

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2004).
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2003 AND VAR-2005 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2004 - ANCHOR CAPITAL GAINS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review including a WAIVER OF THE COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS FOR A PROPOSED 141,136 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE AND RETAIL WITH WAREHOUSE DEVELOPMENT on 11.08 acres adjacent to the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 900 feet north of Alexander Road (APN: 138-02-814-012), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining M. McDONALD excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the proposed entrance for the project will utilize the same driveway as the SuperPawn owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK, which he recently entered into a business relationship. Since this project could have significant impact on the SuperPawn he abstained from voting on Items 153 thru 155.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South Fourth Street, concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 155 – SDR-2004

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 153 [GPA-2003], Item 154 [VAR-2005] and Item 155 [SDR-2004] was held under Item 153 [GPA-2003].

(4:42 – 4:47)

5-621

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. No outside storage shall be permitted on this site.
4. No auto repair shall be permitted on this site.
5. No deliveries shall be permitted prior to 8:00am.
6. The roll up doors on the buildings shall face the interior of the site.
7. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect a minimum width of 8 feet for the landscape planters along the north and east property lines, with the exception of the portion of the site adjacent to existing Pep Boys commercial development, which may be eliminated to maintain a 24-foot access aisle.
8. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect a minimum of 1, 24-inch box tree, planted for every six parking spaces within the parking lot landscape planters.
9. The elevations shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department; prior to the time application is made for a building permit, with additional architectural features to enhance façade articulation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 155 – SDR-2004

MINUTES – Continued:

10. The site plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect a sixty-foot setback from the western property line or a Variance (VAR-2005) shall be approved by the City Council to allow the 58 foot setback.
11. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
12. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
13. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets
14. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
15. The handicapped accessible parking spaces are to be modified to meet city standards.
16. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
17. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
18. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 155 – SDR-2004

MINUTES – Continued:

Public Works

20. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
21. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new driveways or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A. All work within the Rancho Drive corridor shall also receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.
22. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
23. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Rancho Town and Country Shopping Center and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-2022 - BLACK MOUNTAIN BOULDER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF U.S. HOME CORPORATION - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) TO: R (Rural Density Residential) on 28.56 acres adjacent to the south side of Azure Drive, between Torrey Pines Drive and Bronco Street (APN: 125-26-601-002 through 005), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

75
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 41

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, 401 North Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that backup documentation shows a petition opposing the project, and asked MR. SKUSE if a neighborhood meeting was held to address the adjacent residents concerns. MR. SKUSE replied that during the neighborhood meeting the residents asked that the proposed project be compatible with adjacent projects. The residents would rather see larger lots; therefore, the open space was reduced, tightened and added more depth to some of the lots along Azure Drive.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2005
Planning and Development Department
Item 156 – GPA-2022

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked the applicant for working with the adjacent residents and for presenting a compatible project.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 156 [GPA-2022], Item 157 [ZON-2025], Item 158 [VAR-1286] and Item 159 [SDR-2026] was held under Item 156 [GPA-2022].

(4:47 – 4:54)

5-799

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2022 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2025 - BLACK MOUNTAIN BOULDER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF U.S. HOME CORPORATION** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) on 28.56 acres adjacent to the south side of Azure Drive, between Torrey Pines Drive and Bronco Street (APN: 125-26-601-002 through 005), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****74****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 42

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 6 as follows:

6. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Azure Drive, Torrey Pines Drive and Bullring Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. *On Bullring Lane, the exterior streetlighting will be stubbed out for later use, but the installation of the streetlights shall be deferred provided that the developer provide to the City such streetlights for the future installation; alternatively, monies in lieu of such streetlights may be contributed to the City if allowed by the Department of Public Works.* Also construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Bronco Street if an Order of Vacation (such as VAC-1584) for Bronco Street is not recorded.

– UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, 401 North Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 157 – ZON-2025

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK asked BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, to read into the record the amendment to Condition 6 regarding the deferment of the streetlights on Bullring Lane. MR. ANDERSON clarified that in the past when streetlights have been deferred they have not required the applicant to provide those streetlights or the undergrounds. Therefore, the City now has a substantial cost in providing those. That is why staff now requires applicants to put in the undergrounds and provide the streetlights so that in the future those streetlights will be at taxpayers cost. The largest portion of putting streetlights is the underground and that is the applicant's responsibility.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 156 [GPA-2022], Item 157 [ZON-2025], Item 158 [VAR-1286] and Item 159 [SDR-2026] was held under Item 156 [GPA-2022].

(4:47 – 4:54)

5-799

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-2022) to a R (Rural Density Residential) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
4. Density within the portion of the site that lies in the Rural Preservation Neighborhood Buffer shall be limited to 3.0 units per acre.

Public Works

5. All right-of-way issues in conflict with this site shall be resolved prior to the submittal of a Final Map for this subdivision. This site is required to provide dedicated half street rights-of-way totaling 30 feet for Azure Drive, 40 feet for Torrey Pines Drive, 30 feet for

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 157 – ZON-2025

CONDITIONS – Continued:

- Bullring Lane, a 20 foot radius at the southeast corner of Azure Drive & Torrey Pines Drive, and a 20 foot radius at the northeast corner of Bullring Lane & Torrey Pines Drive. Also dedicate appropriate radii at the northeast and southeast corners of this site along Bronco Street if an Order of Vacation (such as VAC-1584) for Bronco Street is not recorded.
6. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Azure Drive, Torrey Pines Drive and Bullring Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Bronco Street if an Order of Vacation (such as VAC-1584) for Bronco Street is not recorded.
 7. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in Bullring Lane to the western edge of this development to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
 8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 157 – ZON-2025

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-2022 AND ZON-2025 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2186**
- BLACK MOUNTAIN BOULDER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ET AL ON BEHALF OF U.S. HOME CORPORATION - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 47,028 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 69,792 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE IS REQUIRED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED 97-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 28.56 acres adjacent to the south side of Azure Drive, between Torrey Pines Drive and Bronco Street (APN: 125-26-601-002 through 005), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [Proposed: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

74
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 43

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, 401 North Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 158 – VAR-2186

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 156 [GPA-2022], Item 157 [ZON-2025], Item 158 [VAR-1286] and Item 159 [SDR-2026] was held under Item 156 [GPA-2022].

(4:47 – 4:54)

5-799

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-2022) to a R (Rural Density Residential) land use designation and Rezoning (ZON-2025) to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per Acre) approved by the City Council.
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2022, ZON-2025 AND VAR-2186 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2026 - BLACK MOUNTAIN BOULDER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF U.S. HOME CORPORATION** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 97-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 28.56 acres adjacent to the south side of Azure Drive, between Torrey Pines Drive and Bronco Street (APN: 125-26-601-002 through 005), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [Proposed: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

74
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Backup brought forward from the 4/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 44

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, 401 North Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 159 – SDR-2026

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 156 [GPA-2022], Item 157 [ZON-2025], Item 158 [VAR-1286] and Item 159 [SDR-2026] was held under Item 156 [GPA-2022].

(4:47 – 4:54)

5-799

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-2022) to a R (Rural Density Residential) land use designation, a Rezoning (ZON-2025) to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per Acre) and a Variance (VAR-2186) to reduce the amount of open space approved by the City Council.
2. The setbacks for this development shall be 18 feet in the front, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on a corner side and 15 feet in the rear. The setbacks for the lots along Bullring Lane shall be staggered so that no two adjacent lots have the same front setback.
3. The required 6 foot wide planter along Torrey Pines Drive and Azure Drive shall consist of the 24 inch box trees spaced 30 feet on center with shrubs and ground cover.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
8. Air conditioning units shall not be roof mounted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 159 – SDR-2026

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
10. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
14. A Master Streetlight Plan of public street lights for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
15. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
16. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
17. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2025 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
18. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS, CENTENNIAL HILLS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AND DANGEROUS BUILDING OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

RQR-1909, SUP-2041, SDR-2040, SUP-2081, SUP-2132, VAC-2105 and VAC-2133 – 6/4/2003 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 21, 2003

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnati, presented a map representing a number of massage parlors, especially in the vicinity of Spanish Oak, Oakey Boulevard and Valley View Boulevard areas. Included in the map are locations of topless clubs. The massage parlors have increased and are now being labeled as massage brothels and are creating problems for the area residents. He explained that the green dots on the map represent the massage parlors, the blue are Paid-Day Loans, and the red dots represent topless establishments.

(4:54 – 4:59)

5-1055

KEVIN McCULLEN, 345 North Nellis Boulevard, #10, stated that he was at the Fremont Street Experience and was approached by a homeless person asking for money. When he declined, the homeless man pulled a knife. It took a while for him to find a security officer to report the incident. He asked who is responsible for security at Fremont Street. The homeless cause problems and harass the tourists. That is the reason why tourists stay away from downtown.

(4:59 – 5:01)

5-1237

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, stated that election campaign signs are unlawfully being displayed and asked that they be removed. He submitted his additional written comments for the record. MAYOR GOODMAN announced for anyone to call his office if they see any campaign signs. He will make sure they are removed immediately.

(5:01 – 5:04)

5-1296

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services Department, is his hero.

(5:04)

5-1414

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF MAY 21, 2003
Citizen Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

An unidentified male stated that he has tried to use the Mayor's open door policy on several occasions, but was turned away by the Mayor's staff. MAYOR GOODMAN explained that the open door policy occurs on the third Friday of every month. The public is invited to attend at 10:00 a.m. to ask questions or discuss any issues. Secondly, meetings are also held throughout communities within each Ward, and the public is invited to attend. The unidentified man asked to speak with the Mayor for a few minutes after the meeting.

(5:04 – 5:05)

5-1420

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:05 P.M.